

KEMENTERIAN KESIHATAN MALAYSIA



TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPI) CLINICAL SERVICES MEDICAL PROGRAMME

2022



CONTENT

| NO | DISCIPLINE | PAGE |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| MEDICAL BASED DISCIPLINES | | |
| 1 | Cardiology | 1 |
| 2 | Dermatology | 9 |
| 3 | Endocrinology | 18 |
| 4 | Gastroenterology & Hepatology | 27 |
| 5 | General Medicine | 37 |
| 6 | Geriatric | 46 |
| 7 | Haematology | 55 |
| 8 | Infectious Disease | 63 |
| 9 | Nephrology | 72 |
| 10 | Neurology | 80 |
| 11 | Palliative Medicine | 89 |
| 12 | Paediatric | 98 |
| 13 | Paediatric Cardiology | 108 |
| 14 | Psychiatry | 117 |
| 15 | Respiratory | 124 |
| 16 | Rheumatology | 132 |
| SURGICAL BASED DISCIPLINES | | |
| 17 | Breast and Endocrine Surgery | 139 |
| 18 | Trauma Surgery | 148 |
| 19 | Cardiothoracic Surgery | 153 |
| 20 | Colorectal Surgery | 162 |
| 21 | General Surgery | 166 |
| 22 | Hepatobiliary Surgery | 176 |
| 23 | Neurosurgery | 181 |
| 24 | Obstetrics and Gynaecology | 190 |
| 25 | Ophthalmology | 201 |
| 26 | Orthopaedic | 210 |
| 27 | Otorhinolaryngology | 221 |
| 28 | Paediatric Surgery | 228 |
| 29 | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery | 235 |
| 30 | Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery | 244 |
| 31 | Urology | 249 |
| 32 | Vascular Surgery | 259 |



| CLINICAL SUPPORT BASED DISCIPLINES | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| 33 | Anaesthesiology | 268 |
| 34 | Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology | 274 |
| 35 | Genetic | 278 |
| 36 | Emergency Medicine | 285 |
| 37 | Forensic Medicine | 291 |
| 38 | Nuclear Medicine | 298 |
| 39 | Pathology | 305 |
| 40 | Radiology | 313 |
| 41 | Radiotherapy and Oncology | 319 |
| 42 | Rehabilitation Medicine | 330 |
| 43 | Sports Medicine | 338 |
| 44 | Transfusion Medicine | 346 |



DESCRIPTION ON 'DATA COLLECTION & VERIFICATION'

| TERM | DEFINITION |
|--|--|
| Primary data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raw data (original data source which were collected first hand by assigned personnel). Data that is not cleaned/ altered or processed. <p><i>(e.g., Delivery Book, Ward Admission & Discharge record book)</i></p> |
| Secondary data | <p>Gathered primary data that were cleaned/ altered or processed.</p> <p><i>(e.g., Massive PPH census, Data of patients discharge within 48 hours)</i></p> |
| Validated primary/ secondary data | <p>**Details of personnel who prepared and validated the data must be available; as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signature Full name Stamp Date stated <p>These data must not be edited once it is validated. It needs to be revalidated if there is any form of alteration/ edition.</p> |
| Validated Summarised Secondary Data | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is a hardcopy of summarised final count (any format) of the respective indicators; should have the minimum following details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Name of Discipline Reporting period (e.g., January 2022/ January-March 2022/ January- June 2022) Name of indicator with standard Numerator, Denominator and Performance Values Signature, Full name and Stamp of personnel who prepared and validated the secondary data; with the date. Hardcopy should be kept with respective department/ unit for audit purposes. A copy of this needs to be sent to Quality Unit (either hardcopy or softcopy) based on 'Secondary Data Reporting Frequency'. Performance Verification Form (PVF) is not encouraged to be used as Validated Summarised Secondary Data. |

**For Hospitals with the source of primary data and/ or secondary data is the Information System; these data do not need to be printed and validated manually. However, it needs to be documented in the Validated Summarised Secondary Data on the source of primary



data & secondary data (e.g., Data in HIS); provided that these data cannot be altered and can be filtered according to requirements of the indicator.

**For Hospitals with secondary data in softcopy (Excel sheet, Google Sheet etc.), either one of these two must be done;

- Print the secondary data in to hardcopy and validate manually (Refer 'Validated primary/ secondary data'; as above) OR
- Document Full name, Designation and Date of personnel who prepared and validated the secondary data in the softcopy sheet; supported by hardcopy of Validated Summarised Secondary Data (refer above).

++++++

Clinical Performance Surveillance Unit (CPSU)

Medical Care Quality Section
Medical Development Division
Ministry of Health Malaysia
03-88831180
cpsu.medicaldev@moh.gov.my



| CARDIOLOGY | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Heart Failure Case Fatality Rate (Within hospital) | Effectiveness | $\leq 8\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Readmission within (\leq) 1 month for Heart Failure | Effectiveness | $\leq 20\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Cardiology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1039 1404 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Cardiology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Cardiology Outpatient Clinic Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 940 1406 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Cardiology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Heart Failure Case Fatality Rate (Within hospital) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Heart Failure is a main cause of mortality in heart disease. Mortality rate is a main KPI of quality of care. <p>Reference: Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of Heart Failure 2019 4th Edition; Malaysian Heart Failure Registry (MyHF).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Heart Failure: A clinical syndrome due to any structural or physiological abnormality of the heart resulting in its inability to meet the metabolic demands of the body or its ability to do so only at higher than normal filling pressures.</p> <p>Within hospital: The period of index hospitalization from admission to death.</p> <p>Death due to Heart Failure: It includes all mortality related to Heart Failure.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All patients admitted for Heart Failure. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Severe pulmonary disease or pulmonary arterial hypertension. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of death due to Heart Failure | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients admitted with Heart Failure | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 8\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Medical and/ or Cardiology Ward/ CCU/ CRW. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from admission & discharge record book/ patient's case notes. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1480 1404 1654"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Cardiology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : | Readmission within (≤) 1 month for Heart Failure | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <p>1. Heart Failure is a main cause of morbidity in heart disease.</p> <p>2. Readmission rate is a main KPI of morbidity.</p> <p>Reference: Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of Heart Failure 2019 4th Edition; Malaysian Heart Failure Registry (MyHF).</p> | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Heart Failure: A clinical syndrome due to any structural or physiological abnormality of the heart resulting in its inability to meet the metabolic demands of the body or its ability to do so only at higher than normal filling pressures.</p> <p>Readmission: Admission of a patient that was previously <u>managed and discharge from the same facility</u>. Readmission for other diagnosis that is not directly related to Heart Failure is not included in this indicator.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <p>1. All Heart Failure admission.</p> <p>Exclusion:</p> <p>1. Severe pulmonary disease or pulmonary arterial hypertension.</p> <p>2. Readmission of patients for Heart Failure within 1 month that were managed and discharged from another facility for the initial Heart Failure admission.</p> <p>3. Readmission due to other causes that is not directly related to cardiovascular system (e.g., Uncontrolled DM, infection related).</p> <p>4. Readmission due to hospital acquired infection from previous admission (e.g., Thrombophlebitis/ Urinary Tract Infection).</p> | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients readmitted for within (≤) 1 month of initial Heart Failure admission | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients admitted with Heart Failure | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 20 % | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Medical and/ or Cardiology ward/ CCU/ CRW.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: For numerator, data is suggested to be collected on the day of readmission. For denominator, data is from admission & discharge record book/ Hospital Information System (HIS).</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1751 1414 1852"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |

++++++



| DERMATOLOGY | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of new Psoriasis patients assessed for quality of life within (\leq) 6 months of follow up under Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | Customer centeredness | \geq 80% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Infection rate of skin biopsy wound | Safety | \leq 2% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Dermatology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Dermatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Dermatology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1039 1404 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Dermatology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Dermatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Dermatology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 940 1409 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Dermatology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of new Psoriasis patients assessed for quality of life within (≤) 6 months of follow up under Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Psoriasis is an immune mediated multisystem disease which runs a chronic debilitating course. 2. It causes profound physical and psychosocial impact, hence reducing the quality of life of patients. 3. Management of Psoriasis patients can be improved by assessing their quality of life and providing holistic care. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Quality of Life: It is a measured using the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI). Quality of life measures are an important adjunct to skin lesion assessments to properly assess the full effect of an illness such as Psoriasis that is not life-threatening.</p> <p>Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI): It is a questionnaire that is very useful to assess the quality of life impact of Psoriasis. Aim of this 10-question validated questionnaire is to measure how much the skin problem has affected patients' life over the last week. This questionnaire is aimed to be done for all new Psoriasis patient within 6 months.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All new Psoriasis patients seen in Dermatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Psoriatic patients who had quality of life assessed by other centres. 2. Patients who defaulted appointment within 6 months. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of new Psoriasis patients assessed for quality of life within (≤) 6 months of follow up under Dermatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of new Psoriasis patients seen during the specified period of time | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Dermatology Outpatient Clinic 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ record of DLQI forms. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="617 1680 1412 1848"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 6 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for January 2022, it will be patients who were newly registered under Dermatology Outpatient Clinic of the hospital in July 2021, as these patients have 6 months from their first visit to be assessed for quality of life. *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |



| Discipline | : Dermatology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Infection rate of skin biopsy wound | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Skin biopsies are performed for diagnostic or therapeutic reasons. 2. The site where a skin biopsy has been performed may be infected and this may produce a poor cosmetic result and increase morbidity. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Infection: Diagnosed clinically when there is evident of pain, erythema, swelling and purulent exudates within 2 weeks from biopsy date and/ or <u>feedback from patients on next follow up</u>. Patient is only considered not infected after 2 weeks from the date of skin biopsy.</p> <p>There must be documentation on post skin biopsy whether it is infected or not.</p> <p>*Suggestion on implementation: This can be done in the form of a slip that patient is provided with a TCA at Klinik Kesihatan or clinic to review wound. Patient needs to bring back the slip during the next TCA at Dermatology Outpatient Clinic and it needs to be reviewed & kept. If there is no slip, feedback from patient need to be documented in patient's case notes during next TCA (whether it is infected or not infected).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who underwent skin biopsy by Dermatology Department. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with infected wound prior to biopsy. 2. Patients who defaulted TCA post skin biopsy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients who had infected skin biopsy wound | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who had undergone skin biopsy | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 2\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Dermatology Outpatient Clinic 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure record book/ skin biopsy slip. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1583 1409 1755"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|--|
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for March 2022, it will be patients who had biopsy done in January 2022; as patient needs to be reviewed during the next TCA to obtain information on wound infection post biopsy. 2 months period is given as patients are usually given TCA within 6 weeks after the biopsy to review the HPE results. |
|----------------|--|

++++++



| ENDOCRINOLOGY | | | | |
|---------------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with HbA1c $> 8.5\%$ | Effectiveness | $< 20\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Type 2 diabetes patients screened for chronic complications | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Endocrinology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1108 1404 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Endocrinology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Endocrine and Diabetes Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1003 1404 1180"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Endocrinology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with HbA1c > 8.5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with HbA1c > 8.5% have poor glycaemic control and are at increased risk of acute complications, hospitalisations and progression of chronic complications. 2. Combination therapy with oral and injectable glucose lowering drugs together with patient education and adherence to lifestyle intervention should enable improved glycaemic control within 12 months of regular follow-up at endocrinologist-led outpatient diabetes clinics. 3. CPG Management of Type 2 diabetes Mellitus (6th Edition) has proposed this KPI for management of Type 2 diabetes mellitus 4. Greatest outpatient workload for Endocrinology Service is management of Type 2 diabetes mellitus | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: Adult outpatients with diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus</p> <p>HbA1c > 8.5%: Blood test for HbA1c taken at 3 - 6 monthly intervals with value exceeding 8.5%</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All adult Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on follow-up > 12 months in outpatient diabetes clinic managed by endocrine team <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on follow-up by other units (non-endocrine). 3. Follow-up < 12 months at the facility 4. Type 1 diabetes mellitus patients | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with HbA1c > 8.5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending the facility | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | < 20% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected from outpatient diabetes clinics where Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients are managed by endocrine team. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1690 1404 1858"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Endocrinology |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of Type 2 diabetes patients screened for chronic complications |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Diabetes complications cause increased morbidity, hospitalisations, healthcare related costs and premature mortality in patients with Type 2 diabetes 2. CPG Management of Type 2 diabetes Mellitus (6th Edition) has proposed this KPI for management of Type 2 diabetes mellitus 3. Early detection of diabetes-related chronic complications with regular screening for complications is important to enable prompt management and delay in progression of complications 4. Screening for chronic complications is still suboptimal in outpatient diabetes care despite ready availability of tools and resources 5. Screening for chronic complications should be performed at least annually in all Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: Adult outpatients with diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus</p> <p>Chronic complications: Diabetes related chronic complications specifically retinopathy, diabetic kidney disease, peripheral neuropathy and diabetic foot</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All adult Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on follow-up > 12 months in outpatient diabetes clinic managed by endocrine team <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on follow-up by other units (non-endocrine). 2. Follow-up < 12 months at the facility 3. Type 1 diabetes mellitus patients |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | <p>Number of patients screened for each chronic complication</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retinopathy (with fundus photograph and/or ophthalmology clinic assessment) • Diabetic Kidney Disease (with urine microalbumin OR urine albumin creatinine ratio (ACR) OR urine protein creatinine index (PCI) AND eGFR) • Peripheral neuropathy (neurological assessment with 10g monofilament AND pin prick OR vibration sense OR ankle reflexes) • Diabetic Foot (comprehensive foot assessment) |
| Denominator | : | Total number of Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending the facility |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected from outpatient diabetes clinics where Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients are managed by endocrine team. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. |



| | <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : For patients attending diabetes clinic from January - December 2022, screening for chronic complications will be assessed within a year of assessment. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| GASTROENTEROLOGY DAN HEPATOLOGY | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of oesophagogastroduodenoscopy (OGDS) performed within (≤) 24 hours of admission in patients presented with Upper Gastrointestinal Haemorrhage (UGIH) without complication | Customer centeredness | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of cirrhotic patients with clinically apparent ascites had diagnostic abdominal paracentesis performed within (≤) 48 hours of admission to medical wards and referred to Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department | Customer centeredness | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of Chronic Hepatitis C patients who are fully assessed and initiated on anti-HCV therapy within (≤) 8 months of first consultation at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | Efficiency | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Gastroenterology and Hepatology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Gastroenterology and Hepatology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Gastroenterology and Hepatology Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 976 1404 1150"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Gastroenterology and Hepatology | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-------|---------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|---|-----------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|---|----------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--------|---|--------------------------------|---------|---|-------|---|--------|---|---------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of oesophagogastroduodenoscopy (OGDS) performed within (\leq) 24 hours of admission in patients presented with Upper Gastrointestinal Haemorrhage (UGIH) without complication (Applicable in establish Gastroenterology and Hepatology centre with UGI bleeder call service) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Glasgow Blatchford Score (GBS) is a pre-endoscopic risk assessment tool for patients presenting with UGIH. It can predict need for intervention or death and identifies low risk patients suitable for outpatient management. 2. The score has been validated to show that patients with a score of 0 are low risk. All other values are considered high risk. 3. In the validation group, scores of 6 or more were associated with a greater than 50% risk of needing an intervention. <p>Reference: Blatchford O, Murray WR, Blatchford M. A risk score to predict need for treatment for upper-gastrointestinal haemorrhage. Lancet. 2000 Oct 14; 356(9238):1318-21.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Upper Gastrointestinal Haemorrhage (UGIH): The presence of haematemesis, coffee ground vomiting, melaena or haematochezia (verified by Gastroenterologist).</p> <p>Glasgow Blatchford Score (GBS) for assessing the severity of UGIH:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">ADMISSION RISK MARKER</th> <th>SCORE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Blood Urea (mmol/L)</td> <td>$\geq 6.5 < 8.0$</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$\geq 8.0 < 10.0$</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$\geq 10.0 < 25.0$</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 25</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Haemoglobin (men) (g/dL)</td> <td>$\geq 12.0 < 13.0$</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$\geq 10.0 < 12.0$</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 10.0</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Haemoglobin (women) (g/dL)</td> <td>$\geq 10.0 < 12.0$</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 10</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td> <td>100-109</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90-99</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 90</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="5">Other markers</td> <td>Pulse ≥ 100 (per min)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Presentation with melaena</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Presentation with syncope</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hepatic disease</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cardiac failure</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Low-risk criteria of GBS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Urea < 6.5 mmol/L. ii. Haemoglobin level > 12.9 g/dL (men) or > 11.9 g/dL (women). iii. Systolic blood pressure > 109 mmHg. iv. Pulse < 100 beats/ min. v. Absence of melaena, syncope, cardiac failure or liver disease. | ADMISSION RISK MARKER | | SCORE | Blood Urea (mmol/L) | $\geq 6.5 < 8.0$ | 2 | $\geq 8.0 < 10.0$ | 3 | $\geq 10.0 < 25.0$ | 4 | ≥ 25 | 6 | Haemoglobin (men) (g/dL) | $\geq 12.0 < 13.0$ | 1 | $\geq 10.0 < 12.0$ | 3 | < 10.0 | 6 | Haemoglobin (women) (g/dL) | $\geq 10.0 < 12.0$ | 1 | < 10 | 6 | Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 100-109 | 1 | 90-99 | 2 | < 90 | 3 | Other markers | Pulse ≥ 100 (per min) | 1 | Presentation with melaena | 1 | Presentation with syncope | 2 | Hepatic disease | 2 | Cardiac failure | 2 |
| ADMISSION RISK MARKER | | SCORE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Blood Urea (mmol/L) | $\geq 6.5 < 8.0$ | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\geq 8.0 < 10.0$ | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\geq 10.0 < 25.0$ | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ≥ 25 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haemoglobin (men) (g/dL) | $\geq 12.0 < 13.0$ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\geq 10.0 < 12.0$ | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | < 10.0 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haemoglobin (women) (g/dL) | $\geq 10.0 < 12.0$ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | < 10 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 100-109 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 90-99 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | < 90 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other markers | Pulse ≥ 100 (per min) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Presentation with melaena | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Presentation with syncope | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hepatic disease | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cardiac failure | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All cases of UGIH without complications. Exclusion: 1. UGIH with complications such as hypotensive shock, severe coagulopathy/ DIVC, severe electrolyte imbalance. 2. Unfit for endoscopy/ unstable patients (e.g., hypotensive shock or encephalopathy). 3. In severe coagulopathy or require special blood preparation. 4. Cases that need other therapeutic optimization (e.g., haemodialysis). 5. Refuse for endoscopy or no consent available. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of OGDS performed within (\leq) 24 hours of admission in cases presented with UGIH without complication | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of cases with UGIH without complication | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : 1. Where: Data will be collected in Endoscopy unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the Endoscopic Unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from admission & discharge record book/ procedure book/ patient's case notes. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1171 1393 1348"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Gastroenterology and Hepatology | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of cirrhotic patients with clinically apparent ascites had diagnostic abdominal paracentesis performed within (\leq) 48 hours of admission to medical wards and referred to Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : All cirrhotic with clinically apparent ascites require paracentesis to diagnose unexpected infection when they are admitted. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Clinically apparent ascites: Flank dullness which is greater/ higher than usual and "shifting". Performed within (\leq) 48 hours of admission: Time taken from the time patient arrived to the Gastroenterology and Hepatology ward or medical wards and being referred to respective Gastroenterology and Hepatology team to the time diagnostic abdominal paracentesis performed. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. Newly admitted cirrhotic patients with clinically apparent ascites. Exclusion: 1. Unfit for paracentesis/ unstable patients (e.g., hypotensive in shock). 2. In severe coagulopathy or require special blood preparation. 3. Cases that need other therapeutic optimization (e.g., haemodialysis). 4. Patient refusal or no consent. 5. Patients with suspicion of intra-abdominal haemorrhage or dilated bowel. 6. Recent abdominal paracentesis in referring hospital that were adequately performed and no indication for a repeat. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of cirrhotic patients with clinically apparent ascites had diagnostic abdominal paracentesis performed within (\leq) 48 hours of admission | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of cirrhotic patients with clinically apparent ascites admitted | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Gastroenterology and Hepatology ward or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from admission & discharge record book/ patient's case note/ procedure book. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1682 1377 1854"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |



| Discipline | : Gastroenterology and Hepatology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : Percentage of Chronic Hepatitis C patients who are fully assessed and initiated on anti-HCV therapy within (\leq) 8 months of first consultation at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Timely treatment in patients with Chronic Hepatitis C prevents long term liver complications and use of more health resources. 2. Chronic Hepatitis C patients who had completed assessments required for anti-HCV therapy and initiated on treatment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Assessment: Depend on the patient and treatment characteristics. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who are willing for treatment and eligible with current available treatment. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who refused anti-HCV therapy. 2. Patients who are enrolled into clinical trials. 3. Patients who have contraindications to anti-HCV therapy. 4. Patients who defaulted appointments for investigations and clinic follow-up. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of Chronic Hepatitis C patients who are fully assessed and initiated on anti-HCV therapy within (\leq) 8 months of first consultation at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of Chronic Hepatitis C patients who received anti-HCV therapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ appointment record book/ database of Hepatitis C patients. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1514 1377 1688"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| GENERAL MEDICINE | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) Case Fatality Rate | Effectiveness | ≤ 10% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of medical patients with unplanned readmission to medical ward within (≤) 48 hours of discharge | Effectiveness | ≤ 0.5% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : General Medicine |
| Indicator 1a | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of General Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). 3. |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the General Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in General Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="592 1075 1388 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : General Medicine |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of General Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at General Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the General Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in General Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="604 974 1399 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : General Medicine |
| Indicator 2 | : Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) Case Fatality Rate |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Cardiovascular diseases accounted for the 25.6% of deaths in Ministry of Health (MOH) Hospitals in 2011. The majority of cardiovascular deaths are attributed to acute coronary syndrome (ACS). This is a spectrum of disease with 3 accepted classes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) Unstable Angina (UA). Mortality rates quoted in the Malaysian Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) Registry maintained by the National Heart Association of Malaysia are 9% for NSTEMI and 3% for UA between 2006 and 2010. Survival is dependent on good monitoring with prompt and continued use of specific medication (anti-platelets, anti-thrombotics, hypolipidemic therapy, B-blockers and ACE-Inhibitors). |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI): A clinical syndrome of acute myocardial death defined by a rise in cardiac biomarkers in the absence of ST elevation on the Electrocardiograph (ECG). The biomarkers used may include any of the following; Troponin T/I, Creatinine Kinase or its MB fraction (CK, CKMB). It is the <u>final main diagnosis</u> written during discharge which is the cause of admission. It is not the admission diagnosis as it may change.</p> <p>Death due to NSTEMI: It is the death directly related to ACS/ NSTEMI as well as complications of NSTEMI such as Heart Failure, arrhythmia, sudden death, Heart Block, Cerebrovascular Accident (CVA), Pulmonary Embolism and Hospital Acquired Infection.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with ACS or NSTEMI as a main diagnosis. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with STEMI or Unstable Angina (UA) as a main diagnosis. Patients who are 'Brought In Dead' (BID) to Emergency Department with or without resuscitation attempted. Patients who developed ACS/ NSTEMI during their stay in hospital who were admitted for other reasons than ACS/ NSTEMI. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of patients diagnosed with ACS/ NSTEMI who died |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients diagnosed with ACS/ NSTEMI |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\leq 10\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in pre-determined specified medical wards that cater for the above condition/ record office. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from admission & discharge record book/ Hospital Information System (HIS) How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. |



| | <p>Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> <th style="width: 35%;">Prepared by</th> <th style="width: 35%;">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as HPIA and Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : General Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of medical patients with unplanned readmission to medical ward within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Unplanned readmission is often considered to be the result of suboptimal care in the previous admission leading to readmission. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Unplanned readmission: Patient being readmitted for the management of the <u>same clinical condition (main diagnosis)</u> he or she was discharged, the admission was not scheduled and it is readmission to the same hospital. This does not include readmission requested by next-of-kin or other department. Same clinical condition: Same diagnosis as refer to the ICD 10. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All medical inpatient discharges from medical wards. 2. All subspecialty patients discharged from medical ward within the same general medicine department (Includes CCU, CRW, nephrology wards etc.). Exclusion: 1. Patients of < 12 years of age. 2. AOR (at own risk) discharged patients during the first admission. 3. Patients that were discharged from wards under different department (e.g., Cardiology ward under Cardiology Department). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of medical patients with unplanned readmissions to medical department within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of medical patients discharged during the same period of time the numerator data was collected | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 0.5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in pre-determined specified medical wards that cater for the above condition/ record office. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: For numerator, data is suggested to be collected on the day of readmission. For denominator, data is from admission & discharge record book/ Hospital Information System (HIS) How frequent: 3 Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1654 1421 1818"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |

++++++



| GERIATRIC | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients undergoing Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) within (\leq) one week of admission to Geriatric ward | Efficiency | \geq 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of post-falls assessments done for patients within (\leq) one week from incident of fall in Geriatric ward | Safety | \geq 90% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Geriatric |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following that patient needs to re-register at respective clinical department counter (Two or more registration areas involved):</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Geriatric related assessment for the patient.</p> <p>Healthcare worker: Any member of the Geriatric team that has the privileged to perform the assessment.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Geriatric Outpatient Clinic. |



| | <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment (“walk-in” patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). <p>Sampling:</p> <p>Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> <p>For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the healthcare worker at the Geriatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Geriatric Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient’s case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1241 1416 1415"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Geriatric |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>If <u>registration of patient with payment collection is done only at clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Geriatric related assessment for the patient.</p> <p>If the registration is done only at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no re-registration at clinical department counter: Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Geriatric related assessment for the patient.</p> <p>Healthcare worker: Any member of the Geriatric team that has the privileged to perform the assessment.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Geriatric Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Geriatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the healthcare worker at the Geriatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Geriatric Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1075 1416 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Geriatric |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients undergoing Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) within (\leq) one week of admission to Geriatric ward |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency |
| Rationale | : | <p>Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) has been proven to provide better diagnostic accuracy, functional outcome, affect or cognition and reduced medication use in the older patient. An early interdisciplinary team review is important for planning management and intervention for elderly inpatients.</p> <p>Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CGA: Handbook of Geriatric Medicine ISBN 978-983-43917-1-3. JKH Luk. Using the comprehensive Geriatric Assessment Technique to assess elderly patients. HKMJ Vol 6 Mac 2000:95. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA): Multidimensional and multidisciplinary diagnostic instrument designed to evaluate as well as to manage elderly patients by collecting data on the identified medical, psychosocial and functional capabilities and limitations of elderly patients with the aim to maximize overall health with aging by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Developing treatment and long-term follow-up plans. Arranging for primary care and rehabilitative services. Organizing and facilitating the intricate process of case management. Determining long-term care requirements and optimal placement. Making use of health care resources. <p>One week: 7 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> <p>Geriatric ward: Ward or designated cubicles/ beds for geriatric patients.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All patients admitted to the Geriatric ward. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients who are discharged/ transferred out within 7 days; patients admitted for procedure/ short intervention period (e.g., MRI, further investigation). |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients undergoing CGA within (\leq) one week of admission to Geriatric ward |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients admitted to Geriatric ward |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Geriatric wards or wards with designated cubicles/ beds for Geriatric patients. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ records of CGA. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. |



| | <p>PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="620 298 1417 472"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="620 298 841 331"></th> <th data-bbox="841 298 1101 331">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1101 298 1417 331">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 331 841 401">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="841 331 1101 401">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1101 331 1417 401">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 401 841 472">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="841 401 1101 472">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1101 401 1417 472">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Geriatric | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of post-falls assessments done for patients within (\leq) one week from incident of fall in Geriatric Ward | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ministry of Health (MOH) gives great importance to patient safety. It is implemented and monitored through Malaysian Patient Safety Goal (MPSG). MPSG No. 5 is pertaining to rate of falls within the facility. 2. Elderly patients are more prone to fall than other individuals due to many factors namely their underlying medical conditions. Patients who have fell needs a comprehensive post fall assessment or analysis by multidisciplinary team approach. This team includes physician, nurses, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, pharmacist and others. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Fall: A sudden, unintentional change in position causing an individual to land at a lower level. (WHO Jan, 2018).</p> <p>One week: 7 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> <p>Geriatric ward: Ward or designated cubicles/ beds for geriatric patients.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients admitted to the dedicated Geriatric ward. 2. Dedicated ward must have an in-house Geriatrician. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fall secondary to seizure(s), paralysis, loss of consciousness, cardiac arrest or overwhelming external force. 2. Intentional fall due to suicidal attempt | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of post-falls assessments done for patients within (\leq) one week from incident of fall in Geriatric ward | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of incidence of falls in dedicated Geriatric ward | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 1000$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Geriatric wards or wards with designated cubicles/ beds for Geriatric patients. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ post-fall assessment record book/ post-fall checklist. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within the ward Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1686 1414 1856"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |

+++++



| HAEMATOLOGY | | | | |
|-------------|---|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of induction deaths from chemotherapy among newly diagnosed Acute Leukaemia/ Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBL) patients | Safety | $\leq 10\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Chemotherapy Extravasation Rate | Safety | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Haematology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Haematology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Haematology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Haematology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1041 1414 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Haematology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Haematology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Haematology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Haematology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Haematology Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 940 1416 1113"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Haematology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of induction deaths from chemotherapy among newly diagnosed Acute Leukaemia/ Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBL) patients | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is to ensure safety of treatment. 2. Acute Leukaemia and Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBL) are the two most common conditions treated in the Haematology Department/ Unit. 3. A standard of 10% is derived based on International Standards for haematology services. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Acute Leukaemia: Consist of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia (AML)/ Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (ALL).</p> <p>Induction death: It is the death due to any cause related to chemotherapy (direct/ indirect) following administration of chemotherapy. The duration of when it is considered induction death depends on the type of chemotherapy used (Which also based on whether it is Acute Leukaemia or DLBL as they have different regimes). For <u>Acute Leukaemia</u>, it is the death occurring within 28 days of induction chemotherapy and for <u>DLBL</u>, it is death occurring within 21 days of induction chemotherapy.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Newly diagnosed AML/ ALL/ DLBL patients. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who defaulted before or those who were given chemotherapy in other hospitals. 2. Patients with palliative intent. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of induction deaths from chemotherapy among newly diagnosed Acute Leukaemia/ DLBL patients | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of newly diagnosed Acute Leukaemia/ DLBL patients who were started on chemotherapy | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 10% | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Haematology wards and Day Care. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ Acute Leukaemia & DLBL registry. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1751 1416 1852"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who were started on chemotherapy in February 2022. | | |



| Discipline | : | Haematology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Chemotherapy Extravasation Rate | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | 1. Extravasation is a potentially preventable complication of chemotherapy. 2. This indicator reflects quality of service delivery and also safety of chemotherapy administration. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Chemotherapy extravasation: Inadvertent leakage of intravenous drugs out of the vein into surrounding tissues. These are extravasation occurring following chemotherapy given to haematology patients in haematology ward and Day Care. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. Infusion or IV bolus of chemotherapy. Exclusion: 1. Non-chemotherapy extravasations (e.g., antibiotics). 2. Local reaction/ chemical phlebitis caused by certain chemotherapy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of chemotherapy extravasation following chemotherapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of administrations of chemotherapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 0.5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Haematology wards/ Day Care or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ chemotherapy record book. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1350 1414 1522"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| INFECTIOUS DISEASE | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of HIV patients achieving undetectable HIV viral load within (\leq) 6 months of commencement of anti-retroviral therapy | Effectiveness | \geq 85% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of inpatients started on carbapenem* in the Infectious Disease discipline who have a documented review within (\leq) 72 hours of initiation | Efficiency | \geq 85% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Infectious Disease |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Infectious Disease Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1075 1414 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Infectious Disease |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Infectious Disease Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 974 1414 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Infectious Disease | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of HIV patients achieving undetectable HIV viral load within (\leq) 6 months of commencement of anti-retroviral therapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Important to achieve treatment target i.e., undetectable viral loads to ensure optimal treatment outcome. 2. The viral load is suggested to be taken between 4 to 6 months after commencement of anti-retroviral therapy. In most hospitals/ institutions of MOH, results will be available within 1 month from the date sample was taken. Thus, it is also important to review the results as soon as possible to ensure proper monitoring of treatment and for intervention/ change of management if deemed necessary. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Undetectable HIV viral loads: Viral loads < 200 copies/ml. This is based on the <u>date blood sample was taken</u> ; and not the date result was traced or the date patient was seen by doctor. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HIV patients who have been started on HIV treatment for the first time (treatment naïve). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HIV patients who have defaulted/ died or have been transferred out. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of HIV patients who have achieved undetectable HIV viral load within (\leq) 6 months of commencement of anti-retroviral therapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of HIV patients who have completed 6 months of anti-retroviral treatment | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 85\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Infectious Disease Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ laboratory results/ database of HIV patients. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1549 1393 1724"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 9 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for January 2022, it will be HIV patients who were started on anti-retroviral in April | | | | | | | | | |



| | 2021. This is to allow the 6 months period to evaluate the effectiveness of anti-retroviral treatment and also time for viral load result to be available. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 331 873 401">Performance Data</th> <th data-bbox="873 331 1429 401">Date patient was initiated on anti-retroviral therapy</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 401 873 436">January-March 2022</td> <td data-bbox="873 401 1429 436">April to June 2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 436 873 472">April-June 2022</td> <td data-bbox="873 436 1429 472">July to September 2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 472 873 508">July-September 2022</td> <td data-bbox="873 472 1429 508">October to December 2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 508 873 541">October-December 2022</td> <td data-bbox="873 508 1429 541">January to March 2022</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Performance Data | Date patient was initiated on anti-retroviral therapy | January-March 2022 | April to June 2021 | April-June 2022 | July to September 2021 | July-September 2022 | October to December 2021 | October-December 2022 | January to March 2022 |
| Performance Data | Date patient was initiated on anti-retroviral therapy | | | | | | | | | | |
| January-March 2022 | April to June 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| April-June 2022 | July to September 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| July-September 2022 | October to December 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| October-December 2022 | January to March 2022 | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : Infectious Disease |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of inpatients started on carbapenem* in the Infectious Disease discipline who have a documented review within (\leq) 72 hours of initiation |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is increasing number of Multiresistant Organisms (MROs)/ Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (MRE) in the country. 2. The 72 hours review is a part of important component of Antimicrobial Stewardship (AMS) Program. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Documented review: Documented evidence that patients started on carbapenem in the Infectious Disease (ID) discipline are reviewed for continuation, cessation or de-escalation within (\leq) 72 hours of initiation. This review does not need to be part of ID physician grand rounds.</p> <p>This review can be done by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ID specialist or • Trainee specialist or designated medical officer or designated member of Antimicrobial Stewardship (AMS) team in the hospital but they all need to have documentation of discussion with the name of ID specialist stated. <p>If reviews are done only during the ID physician rounds, suggestion is for rounds to be done minimum 3 times per week (e.g., Monday, Wednesday and Friday) to be able to cater reviews within 72 hours.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients on carbapenem admitted to general medical wards. 2. All patients on carbapenem admitted to other wards in the hospital and were referred to ID team. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients died or transferred out of the hospital before 72 hours of initiation of carbapenem. 2. Patients for whom carbapenem has been stopped by the primary team before 72 hours of initiation. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of patients started on carbapenem under ID discipline who have a documented review within (\leq) 72 hours of initiation |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients started on carbapenem under ID discipline |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 85\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in all general medical wards and wards where those patients were referred to ID. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse/ Pharmacist in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ pharmacy records. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by | |
| | | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *The choice of antibiotic may vary depending on the antibiotic use and resistance data of the hospital. | | | |

+++++



| NEPHROLOGY | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of chronic haemodialysis patients with delivered KT/V of ≥ 1.2 | Effectiveness | $\geq 85\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Incidence rate of peritonitis in adult patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis | Safety | ≤ 4 per 100 patient-months | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Nephrology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Nephrology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Nephrology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Nephrology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1041 1414 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Nephrology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Nephrology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Nephrology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Nephrology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Nephrology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 940 1414 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Nephrology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of chronic haemodialysis patients with delivered KT/V of ≥ 1.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Haemodialysis is the core business of Nephrology. 2. KT/V is a measure of adequacy of haemodialysis. The survival of haemodialysis (HD) patients is dependent on dialysis adequacy and it, in turn, is under the control of HD unit staff. 3. KT/V is dependent of blood flow rate, dialysate flow rate, the type of dialyser used, the number of hours on dialysis, dialysis frequency and body weight of the patient. 4. KT/V is estimated every 3 monthly. This indicator is a measure of the on-going processes in the daily running of haemodialysis units, involving processes during the haemodialysis procedure which is carried out by paramedics and clinical management of patients by nephrologists. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | KT/V: A measure of dialysis adequacy based on clearance of urea. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients on chronic haemodialysis for more than 3 months in the Centre. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with acute renal failure on haemodialysis. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of chronic haemodialysis patients with delivered KT/V of ≥ 1.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of chronic haemodialysis patients tested for KT/V | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 85\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Haemodialysis Unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ haemodialysis patient record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1451 1416 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Discipline | : | Nephrology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : | Incidence rate of peritonitis in adult patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Peritoneal dialysis (PD) is one of the main modes of renal replacement therapy which is found in Nephrology Units in the Ministry of Health (about 37% of all dialysis patients in MOH in 2020). It cost the MOH RM 31,635 per life year saved in 2001. 2. One of the indicators of safety and efficacy is the peritonitis rate. It is affected by the training of patients, the peritoneal dialysis system used and the long-term care of the PD patient especially in preventing and treating exit site infection. 3. Peritonitis is the main cause of technique failure. It causes pain, suffering and impacts on the workload of the haemodialysis unit as the patient may have to go on acute or permanent haemodialysis. 4. The indicator is a measure of the work done by PD nurses and the clinical care and counselling given to patients in clinic. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Peritonitis: Presence of <u>at least 2</u> of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptoms (abdominal pain or turbid fluid). • White cells in the peritoneal fluid of more than 100 cells/ml with at least 50% polymorphs. • Positive peritoneal fluid culture. | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All hospitals with PD program. 2. All adult patients on chronic PD. 3. All peritonitis occurring from the first day of PD training. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PD performed due to other illness. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Cumulative number of peritonitis episodes in patients on chronic PD | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Cumulative total number of patient-months of treatment on chronic PD | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 4 cases per 100 patient-months | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Nephrology wards or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case note/ PD patient record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1759 1421 1858"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |

++++++



| NEUROLOGY | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of Ischaemic Stroke (IS) patients receiving IV recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (IV rt-PA) therapy within (\leq) 35 minutes of CT brain initiation. (From <i>CT brain initiation to needle time</i>) | Efficiency | \geq 65% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS) inpatients obtained Neurology consultation within (\leq) 24 hours of referral | Customer centeredness | \geq 85% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Neurology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Neurology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Neurology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1075 1416 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Neurology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Neurology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Neurology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Neurology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 940 1414 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer / Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department / Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer / Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer / Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Neurology | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of Ischaemic Stroke (IS) patients receiving IV recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (IV rt-PA) therapy within (\leq) 35 minutes of CT brain initiation. (From CT brain initiation to needle time) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intravenous rt-PA is proven by randomised control trials to reduce disability from Ischaemic Stroke at 90 days. 2. Delay in thrombolysing patients is associated with higher risk of in-hospital mortality and symptomatic intracranial bleed. <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>Table 5. ED-Based Care</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Action</th> <th>Time</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Door to physician</td> <td>≤ 10 minutes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Door to stroke team</td> <td>≤ 15 minutes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Door to CT initiation</td> <td>≤ 25 minutes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Door to CT interpretation</td> <td>≤ 45 minutes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Door to drug ($\geq 80\%$ compliance)</td> <td>≤ 60 minutes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Door to stroke unit admission</td> <td>≤ 3 hours</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>CT indicates computed tomography; and ED, emergency department. Source: Bock.*</p> </div> <p>*35 minutes is obtained by subtracting the door to CT initiation time from door to needle time (25 minutes from 60 minutes).</p> | Action | Time | Door to physician | ≤ 10 minutes | Door to stroke team | ≤ 15 minutes | Door to CT initiation | ≤ 25 minutes | Door to CT interpretation | ≤ 45 minutes | Door to drug ($\geq 80\%$ compliance) | ≤ 60 minutes | Door to stroke unit admission | ≤ 3 hours |
| Action | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to physician | ≤ 10 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to stroke team | ≤ 15 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to CT initiation | ≤ 25 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to CT interpretation | ≤ 45 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to drug ($\geq 80\%$ compliance) | ≤ 60 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Door to stroke unit admission | ≤ 3 hours | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Ischaemic Stroke (IS): It is defined as an episode of neurological dysfunction caused by focal infarction of the brain, spinal cord, or retina, in which central nervous system infarction was defined by pathological, imaging, or other objective evidence of ischemic injury in a defined vascular distribution or by symptoms that persisted ≥ 24 hours or until death with other (non-stroke) causes excluded.</p> <p>Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA): It is a thrombolytic therapy used for IS. Intravenous rt-PA is used at a dosage of 0.9 mg/kg, maximum dose 90mg.</p> <p>CT brain imaging time: It is the time a CT Brain is initiated.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients diagnosed with IS indicated for thrombolytic therapy within office hours (8am to 5pm). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients of < 18 years of age. 2. Patients who have contraindications for thrombolytic therapy as per the 'AHA/ASA 2018 guidelines for the Early Management of Patients with Acute Ischaemic Stroke'. 3. Documented reason for delay in initiating rt-PA e.g., | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unstable patient who needs urgent medical stabilisation, prior to CT brain (e.g., intubation for respiratory failure or airway protection). Patient who needs treatment of elevated blood pressure. Patients with fluctuating neurological examination. Initial refusal by patient or family members for thrombolysis therapy. <p>4. Patients who come after office hours (as many KKM centres are still single neurologist centres and have yet to open 24-hours' thrombolysis service).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|----------------|--|---|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with IS receiving IV rt-PA therapy within (\leq) 35 minutes of CT brain initiation | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients diagnosed with IS receiving IV rt-PA therapy | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 65\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Acute Stroke Ward/ Neurology Ward/ Acute cubicle of general medical, geriatric ward or ward where the post thrombolytic therapy patients are treated. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure book/ IV rt-PA record book. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1188 1416 1360"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Neurology |
| Indicator 4 | : | Percentage of Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS) inpatients obtained Neurology consultation within (\leq) 24 hours of referral |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Customer centeredness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stroke is the most common causes of physical disability in adults. 2. Strokes can be either ischaemic or haemorrhagic. The ischaemic (75%) is more common than haemorrhagic (25%). 3. Many cases of stroke are admitted to the general medical ward. Early referral to neurology team will ensure initiation of appropriate management and prevention of stroke complications. The management involves multidisciplinary departments/units. The long-term management includes secondary stroke prevention and rehabilitation process. The length of hospital stay (LOS) could reflect the effectiveness of stroke management. 4. Early neurological attention in acute stroke is related to better functional outcome and shorter hospitalization. <p>Reference: Davalos A, Castillo J, and Martinez EV. Delay in Neurological Attention and Stroke Outcome. Stroke. 1995; 26: 2233-2237.</p> |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS): It occurred when the blood supply to certain part of the brain is blocked usually because of atherosclerosis which usually located at the arterial branches. Other cause is a thromboembolic phenomenon usually from cardiac (cardioembolic stroke). The CT-scan brain shows hypodense (black) area in the brain.</p> <p>Neurology consultation: Time taken from the time patient was referred to Neurology team to the time patient was seen by the team (at least seen by the medical officer from Neurology team and discussed verbally or via phone consultation).</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acute onset Ischaemic Stroke patients admitted for further management and referred for Neurology consultation. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transient Ischaemic Attack (TIA). 2. Haemorrhagic Stroke which includes Intracerebral Haemorrhage (ICH) and Subarachnoid Haemorrhage (SAH). 3. Traumatic head injury. 4. Stroke syndrome other than vascular causes such as Cerebral Tumour. 5. Patients who died within (\leq) 24 hours after referral. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS) inpatients obtained Neurology consultation within (\leq) 24 hours of referral |
| Denominator | : | Total number of Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS) inpatients referred to Neurology team |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | $\geq 85\%$ |



| <p>Data Collection & Verification</p> | <p>: 1. Where: Data will be collected in Acute Stroke Ward/ Neurology Ward/ Acute cubicle of general medical and other wards that cater for the above conditions.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department / unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ referral record book.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="618 632 1414 804"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Remarks</p> | <p>: *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator.</p> | | | | | | | | | |

+++++++



| PALLIATIVE MEDICINE | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of inpatients with severe cancer pain on initial encounter whose pain had been significantly reduced within (\leq) 24 hours of therapy | Effectiveness | \geq 90% | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of severe opioid toxicity requiring reversal with naloxone due to inappropriate opioid administration or prescription | Safety | 0% | 6 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Palliative Medicine |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1075 1416 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department / Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer / Paramedic / Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Palliative Medicine |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Palliative Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 974 1414 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Palliative Medicine |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of inpatients with severe cancer pain on initial encounter whose pain had been significantly reduced within (\leq) 24 hours of therapy |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cancer pain is one of the main symptoms managed in palliative care and it has been documented that about 90% of cancer pain can be relieved with routine pain medications such as opioid analgesia. 2. All palliative care services should be able to achieve good pain relief in over 90% of cancer pain patients. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Cancer pain: Pain directly or indirectly due to cancer.</p> <p>Severe cancer pain: Pain score of 7/10 or more.</p> <p>Significant reduced pain: Reduction of pain severity of at least (\geq) 2 points from baseline pain score.</p> <p>Therapy: Pain medications such as opioid analgesia.</p> <p>Inpatient: Patients admitted to a dedicated palliative care bed or referred to palliative care team from other wards.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All inpatients with severe cancer pain reviewed by the palliative care service that has been followed up continuously for more than 24 hours. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who are unable to self-report pain with established unidimensional pain scores. 2. Patients not receiving analgesia as prescribed by the palliative care service due to patient refusal or unauthorized medication adjustment by other clinicians. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of inpatients with severe cancer pain reviewed by the palliative care service whose pain had been significantly reduced within 24 hours |
| Denominator | : | Total number of inpatients with severe cancer pain reviewed by the palliative care service |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Palliative wards or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by |
| | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Palliative Medicine |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of severe opioid toxicity requiring reversal with naloxone due to inappropriate opioid administration or prescription |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opioid analgesia is an essential medication that is commonly used in the management of cancer pain. Although opioids are considered dangerous drugs, WHO and international pain and palliative care organisations worldwide advocate its use and promote safe and appropriate techniques to manage cancer pain effectively. MOH has developed a CPG Management of Cancer Pain (July 2010) and in this document detail of safe and effective use of opioid analgesia has been specified. Clinicians should adhere to these safe practices to avoid incidences of opioid toxicity which can result in pre-mature death of a patient receiving palliative care. This indicator is to measure the safe practice of opioid prescription and administration in patients under the care of a Palliative Medicine specialist. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Opioid: morphine, oxycodone, fentanyl, methadone.</p> <p>Severe opioid toxicity: Toxicity due to excessive administration of opioid analgesia resulting in respiratory depression requiring the use of naloxone.</p> <p>Inappropriate administration: Incorrect delivery of opioid analgesia to a patient in terms of dose or route of administration.</p> <p>Inappropriate prescription: Prescription of opioid analgesics not justified according to the guidance of the MOH CPG on cancer pain management.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All new patients under the care of the Palliative Care team. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with opioid prescription not under supervision of Palliative Care team. Patients developing severe opioid toxicity due to metabolic changes as a consequence of primary illness or comorbidities. Patients prescribe naloxone inappropriately. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients developed severe opioid toxicity requiring reversal with naloxone due to inappropriate opioid administration or prescription |
| Denominator | : | Total number of new patients referred to Palliative Care team |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | 0% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Palliative wards or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ incident reporting forms/ pharmacy Daily Define Dose (DDA) record book. |



| | <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| PAEDIATRIC | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of survival of inborn livebirths with birthweight between 1000-1499g | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Community-acquired pneumonia death rate (in previously healthy children aged between 1 month and 5 years) | Effectiveness | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of paediatric patients with unplanned readmission to Paediatric Ward within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | Effectiveness | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals / departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Paediatric Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1041 1414 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Paediatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 940 1414 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of survival of inborn livebirths with birthweight between 1000-1499g | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This group of infants comprises a significant proportion of patients who utilize NICU and special care nursery resources. 2. Their survival impacts significantly on the under 5 survival target. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Livebirth: Born alive.</p> <p>Inborn: Born in the same hospital.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All inborn livebirth infants of birthweight between 1000-1499 g. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Babies born with major/ lethal congenital anomalies (LCM). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of survival of inborn livebirths with birthweight between 1000-1499 g | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of inborn livebirths of birthweight between 1000-1499g | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Neonatology Unit/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ other related area. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ birth record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within the department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1314 1414 1486"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Paediatric | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Community-acquired pneumonia death rate (in previously healthy children aged between 1 month and 5 years) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Pneumonia is a common childhood infection where mortality can be reduced by careful management. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Pneumonia acquired from normal social contact as opposed to being acquired during hospitalization and confirmed by radiological or laboratory investigations. It is the <u>final main diagnosis</u> written during discharge which is the cause of admission. It is not the admission diagnosis as it may change. Discharge diagnosis of just Pneumonia is also taken as CAP.</p> <p>Previously healthy: Paediatric patients who are not known to have any serious medical illnesses before (e.g., Chronic childhood asthma, severe malnutrition, etc.).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Previously healthy children aged between 1 month and 5 years. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients younger than 1 month and older than 5 years. 2. Hospital-acquired pneumonia. 3. Children with co-morbid conditions e.g., cardiac, chronic lung disease, severe neurological conditions causing restrictive lung disease, etc. 4. Epidemics of CAP. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of deaths due to community-acquired pneumonia in previously healthy children aged between 1 month and 5 years | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of cases admitted for community-acquired pneumonia among previously healthy children aged between 1 month and 5 years | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 0.5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Ward/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ other related area. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ admission & discharge record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within the department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1682 1414 1854"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as HPIA and Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |



| Discipline | : | Paediatric | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : | Percentage of paediatric patients with unplanned readmission to Paediatric Ward within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Unplanned readmission is often considered to be the result of suboptimal care in the previous admission leading to readmission. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Unplanned readmission: It includes the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient being readmitted for the management of the <u>same clinical condition (main diagnosis)</u> he or she was discharged. • Readmission was not scheduled. • Readmission to the same hospital. • This does not include readmission requested by next-of-kin or other department. • This does not include patients were readmitted for different reason but have the same underlying conditions ('other diagnosis'). <p>Same clinical condition: Same diagnosis as refer to the ICD 10.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All paediatric inpatient discharges from Paediatric Ward. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Neonates of < 28 days of life. 2. Patients of > 12 years of age. 3. AOR (at own risk) discharged patients during the first admission. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with unplanned readmissions to Paediatric Ward within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of paediatric patients discharged during the same period of time the numerator data was collected | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 0.5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Paediatric Ward. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: For numerator, data is suggested to be collected on the day of readmission. For denominator, data is from admission & discharge record book/ Hospital Information System (HIS). 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1696 1416 1862"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |

+++++++



| PAEDIATRIC CARDIOLOGY | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Major complication associated with elective Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA) occlusion | Safety | $\leq 2.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of paediatric cardiology patients with unplanned readmission to Paediatric Ward within (\leq) 48 hours of discharge | Effectiveness | $\leq 1\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric Cardiology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1108 1416 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Paediatrics Cardiology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1073 1414 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric Cardiology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Major complication associated with elective Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA) occlusion | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. With the recent advancement in Paediatric Cardiology, the major complication associated with PDA occlusion is becoming less common and preventable. 2. The rate of major complication associated with PDA occlusion is quoted to be around 2.3%. 3. To ensure the quality and safety of the procedure, the indicator is to measure rate of major complications associated with PDA occlusion within MOH hospitals that provides the services. <p>Reference: Pass RH et al, Multicenter USA Amplatzer patent ductus arteriosus occlusion device trial, J Am Coll Cardiol 2004.</p> | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Major complication associated with PDA occlusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Death directly related to procedure. • Device embolization requiring catheter retrieval or surgical intervention. • Confirmed vascular thrombosis requiring thrombolytic therapy (alteplase/ streptokinase) or surgical intervention. • Pericardial effusion requiring pericardiocentesis. | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Isolated PDA. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All emergency cases. 2. Complex PDA. 3. PDA in a premature infant of gestation less than 37 weeks. 4. Infants with weight less than 6kg. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of major complications associated with PDA occlusion | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of PDA occlusion | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100 \%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 2.5\%$ | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Cardiology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ PDA occlusion record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1770 1421 1871"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---------|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Nurse in-charge | Paramedic/ Specialist in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Paediatric Cardiology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of paediatric cardiology patients with unplanned readmission to Paediatric Ward within (≤) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Unplanned readmission is often considered to be the result of suboptimal care in the previous admission leading to readmission. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Unplanned readmission: It includes the criteria below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient being readmitted for the management of the <u>same clinical condition (main diagnosis)</u> he or she was discharged. • Readmission was not scheduled. • Readmission to the same hospital. • This does not include readmission requested by next-of-kin or other department. • This does not include patients were readmitted for different reason but have the same underlying conditions ('other diagnosis'). <p>Same clinical condition: Same diagnosis as refer to the ICD 10.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All paediatric cardiology inpatient discharges from Paediatric Cardiology Ward and other general wards that admit paediatric cardiology patients. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Neonates of < 28 days of life. 2. Patients of > 12 years of age. 3. AOR (at own risk) discharged patients during the first admission. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of paediatric cardiac patients with unplanned readmissions to Paediatric Ward within (≤) 48 hours of discharge | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of paediatric cardiac patients discharged during the same period of time the numerator data was collected | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 1% | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Paediatric Cardiology Ward and other wards that admit paediatric cardiology patients. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: For numerator, data is suggested to be collected on the day of readmission. For denominator, data is from admission & discharge record book/ Hospital Information System (HIS). 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1759 1416 1862"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |

++++++



| PSYCHIATRY | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Defaulter rate among Psychiatric outpatients | Effectiveness | ≤ 10% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of new patients reviewed by psychiatrist within (≤) 30 days at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | Customer centeredness | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Psychiatry |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1041 1416 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Psychiatry |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 940 1414 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Psychiatry | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Defaulter rate among Psychiatric outpatients | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clinically effective management results in low defaulter rate, as patient develop compliance and adherence to treatment. 2. Studies have shown that high defaulter rate in psychiatric patients resulted in high morbidity and high mortality. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Defaulter: Patient who failed to attend outpatient clinic within (\leq) one month (30 days irrespective of working or non-working days) of the appointment date. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients under follow up of Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All new cases/ referrals. 2. Appointment to counsellor. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients defaulting Psychiatric Outpatient Clinic follow-up | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients attending Psychiatric Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 10\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from appointment record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1285 1416 1457"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : <p>Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who had appointment in March 2022, to allow one month period for them before they are considered as defaulters.</p> <p>*This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator.</p> | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Psychiatry | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of new patients reviewed by psychiatrist within (\leq) 30 days at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Management of patients comprises proper diagnoses including exclusion of other medical problems, and effective, holistic treatment. This is best achieved through review by psychiatrists, resulting in improved safety and quality of patient care. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : New Outpatient cases: First appointment in Psychiatric Clinic. Reviewed: Seen by or discussed with psychiatrist as documented evidence by endorsement/ signature or appropriate entry in patients' medical records. 30 days: 30 days (irrespective working or non-working days). | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All new outpatients at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. Exclusion: NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of new patients reviewed by psychiatrist within (\leq) 30 days at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of new patients at Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1417 1416 1591"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be new outpatients of March 2022; to allow one month period for these patients to be reviewed by specialist. | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| RESPIRATORY | | | | |
|-------------|---|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of major complications during elective flexible diagnostic bronchoscopy | Safety | $\leq 1\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of complicated Tuberculosis (TB) cases seen within (\leq) 2 weeks in Pulmonology/ TB clinic | Efficiency | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Respiratory |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1041 1414 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Respiratory |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Pulmonology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 940 1414 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Respiratory |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of major complications during elective flexible diagnostic bronchoscopy |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To ensure safety of patients undergoing elective diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy. With the recent advancement in pulmonology, the major complication associated with bronchoscopy is becoming less common and preventable. Based on European Respiratory Society, the rate of major complication (such as bleeding, respiratory depression and pneumothorax) associated with elective flexible diagnostic bronchoscopy is 1%. Mortality is rare with a reported death rate of 0 - 0.04% in a large number of procedures. To ensure the quality and safety of the procedure, the indicator is to measure rate of major complications associated with elective flexible diagnostic bronchoscopy within MOH hospitals that provides the services. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Major complications are defined as patients that had at least one of these outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resuscitative or surgical measures. Unscheduled admission. Termination of procedure (due to bleeding, respiratory depression or pneumothorax). Death. <p>*Termination of procedure due to factors such as patient cannot tolerate or agitated is NOT considered here as it is not a complication.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All patients undergoing elective diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Emergency/ semi-emergency flexible bronchoscopy. Flexible bronchoscopy as part of advanced bronchoscopy such as rigid bronchoscopy/ cryobiopsy/ EBUS/ debulking. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with major complications following elective diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent elective diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | $\leq 1\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Thoracic Endoscopic Suite. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure book/ bronchoscopy suite registry. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: |



| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by |
| | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | |



| Discipline | : | Respiratory | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of complicated Tuberculosis (TB) cases seen within (≤) 2 weeks in Pulmonology/ TB clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Complex TB cases need input from specialist with experience in TB management to prevent further complications/ transmission. 2. All complicated TB cases need to be seen by or discussed with specialist in Pulmonology/ TB Clinic. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Complicated TB: It is defined as TB with complications such as adverse drug reactions, airway complication, persistent positive smear and drug resistance.</p> <p>2 weeks: 14 days (irrespective of working or non-working days).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All complicated TB cases that are referred to Pulmonology/ TB clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who defaulted appointment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of complicated TB cases seen in the Pulmonology/ TB clinic within (≤) 2 weeks | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of complicated TB cases referred to Pulmonology/ TB clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Pulmonology/ TB Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1381 1414 1556"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be new complicated TB patients of March 2022; to allow 2 weeks for these patients to be seen at Pulmonology/ TB Clinic. | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| RHEUMATOLOGY | | | | |
|--------------|---|---------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of newly presented SLE patients prescribed hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | Effectiveness | ≥ 95% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Rheumatoid Arthritis patients screened for Viral Hepatitis prior to starting methotrexate | Safety | ≥ 95% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Rheumatology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1075 1416 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Rheumatology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 974 1414 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Rheumatology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of newly presented SLE patients prescribed hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is one the commonest rheumatic disease with significant morbidity and mortality among young women. 2. Hydroxychloroquine has been shown to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reduce flares, • reduce organ damage, • reduce lipid, • reduce thrombosis, • triples MMF response in lupus membranous nephritis and • improve survival. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ): Essential drug in management of SLE patients. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All new SLE patients presented to Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. 2. All SLE patients newly referred from other health centres already on HCQ. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who have intolerance or contraindication to HCQ. 2. Patients who refuse HCQ. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of newly presented SLE patients prescribed HCQ in Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of newly presented SLE patients in Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ SLE registry. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1528 1416 1703"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Rheumatology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of Rheumatoid Arthritis patients screened for Viral Hepatitis prior to starting methotrexate | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rheumatoid Arthritis is the most common disease seen at Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Methotrexate is the gold standard therapy. 3. Viral Hepatitis screening is essential prior to starting methotrexate as methotrexate may induce viral reactivation. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Viral Hepatitis screening: It is done by serology screening test for Hepatitis B and C. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All Rheumatoid Arthritis patients started with methotrexate. 2. All Rheumatoid Arthritis patients newly referred from other health centres already on methotrexate. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who have contraindication to methotrexate. 2. Patients who refuse methotrexate. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of Rheumatoid Arthritis patients screened for Hepatitis B and C prior to starting methotrexate | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of Rheumatoid Arthritis patients that were newly started on methotrexate in Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ Rheumatoid Arthritis registry. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1486 1414 1661"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| BREAST AND ENDOCRINE SURGERY | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with clear surgical margins in Breast Conserving Surgery (BCS) | Effectiveness | ≥ 85% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury in primary benign thyroid operation | Safety | ≤ 3% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a **OR** 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Breast and Endocrine Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter;</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 1075 1406 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Breast and Endocrine Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | : | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Breast & Endocrine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 976 1404 1144"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : Breast and Endocrine Surgery |
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of patients with clear surgical margins in Breast Conserving Surgery (BCS) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Breast Cancer is the commonest cancer affecting female patients. 2. A number of Breast Cancer patients with early Breast Cancer will only require Breast Conserving Surgery (BCS) as the definitive procedure. 3. BCS is cosmetically more acceptable and less traumatic to Breast Cancer patients. However, some technical expertise with good pathology service back-up is required for this type of treatment to be successful. 4. Clear surgical margins are paramount in BCS treatment of Breast Cancers. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Clear surgical margins: Complete excision of the tumour with clear margins (no tumour on ink). HPE of tissue needs to be reviewed within 1 month by the operating team to confirm on clear surgical margins.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference: The Society and Surgical Oncology (SSO) and American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) Guideline on Margins for BCS 2013 in invasive Breast Cancer. <p>Breast Conserving Surgery (BCS): Any procedure that preserve a part of the breast tissue. This can be performed with other Oncoplastic/ Reconstructive procedures.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients undergoing BCS as the definitive surgical procedure for Breast Cancer. 2. Post neo-adjuvant BCS. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Procedures performed as part of diagnostic work-up. 2. Suspicious lesion. 3. Ductal Carcinoma In-Situ (DCIS) or other in-situ cancers/ tumours. 4. Breast Sarcomas and Malignant Phylloides. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with clear surgical margin following BCS for Breast Cancer |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients underwent BCS as definitive treatment for Breast Cancer |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 85\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Department of Surgery/ Unit that has Breast & Endocrine Surgery Service by Breast & Endocrine Surgeon(s). 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. Histopathological reports of all patients are collected and reviewed by respective surgeons to verify the margins clearance. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by | |
| | | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in March 2022; to allow time for reviewing HPE results to verify margin clearance. | | | |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Breast and Endocrine Surgery |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury in primary benign thyroid operation |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Benign thyroid surgery is a common procedure. 2. Injury to recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) can cause significant morbidity to patients and in some cases, it may result in life-threatening complications e.g., airway obstruction. 3. In good hands and trained surgeon, the RLN injury is very low. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Primary: First time thyroid operation.</p> <p>Injury to RLN;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) RLN cut off during surgery. ii) Post op hoarseness of voice confirmed RLN injury via indirect laryngoscopy (IDL) assessment (by ENT) before discharged. iii) Both temporary and permanent injuries included. <p>RLN at risk of injury: In a total thyroidectomy, 2 RLN are at risk of injury. In a hemi-thyroidectomy, 1 RLN is at risk.</p> <p>Thyroid operation: It includes hemi-thyroidectomy, total thyroidectomy and subtotal thyroidectomy. Isthmectomy is NOT included.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients undergoing primary thyroid operations for benign thyroid diseases. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re-do, secondary and completion procedures. 2. All malignant cases. Histologically confirmed malignancy that is diagnosed after the procedures should also be excluded from final calculations. 3. Isthmectomy. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of RLN palsy/ injury after thyroid operation |
| Denominator | : Total number of RLN at risk for injury following thyroid operation for benign thyroid disease in similar period (In Total Thyroidectomy, 2 RLN are at risk) |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\leq 3\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Department of Surgery/ Unit that has Breast & Endocrine Surgery Service by Breast & Endocrine Surgeon(s). 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by | |
| | | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 3 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in January 2022; as the patient needs to be followed up and assessed on RLN injury. | | | |

+++++



| TRAUMA SURGERY | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Turnaround time from booking OT for crash laparotomy to surgery within (\leq) 60 minutes | Efficiency | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Survival rate of trauma patients with Injury Severity Score (ISS) less than ($<$) 16 | Effectiveness | 100% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of trauma laparotomy cases performed without complication | Safety | $\geq 95\%$ | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : Trauma Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : Turnaround time from booking OT for crash laparotomy to surgery within (\leq) 60 minutes | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In a hypotensive patient due to exsanguinating intra-abdominal bleeding, urgent surgical intervention for haemostasis is required. Crash laparotomy to arrest the bleeding is part of the resuscitative process for these patients. 2. This indicator needs to be monitored as a delay from making a call to OT and time of surgical intervention can affect patient's survival. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Crash laparotomy: An urgent laparotomy that needs to be carried out for surgical haemostasis in a hypotensive patient due to exsanguinating intra-abdominal bleed. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All haemodynamically unstable patients due to intra-abdominal bleed seen in Emergency Department indicated for urgent laparotomy. 2. All haemodynamically unstable patient due to intra-abdominal bleed seen in ICU or ward indicated for urgent laparotomy after failed non-operative management. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who require laparotomy for peritonitis and are hemodynamically stable. 2. All patient referred on table for trauma laparotomy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of crash laparotomies started within (\leq) 60 minutes of making a call to OT | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of crash laparotomies | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in ICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="576 1480 1372 1654"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Trauma Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Survival rate of trauma patients with Injury Severity Score (ISS) less than (<) 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Injury Severity Score (ISS) is widely used severity scoring system for trauma and is practised internationally. 2. Patient with an ISS score of less than 16 are classified as minor trauma. 3. Patients with minor trauma injuries have a very good prognosis. 4. This indicator needs to be monitored as a drop in survival rate is suggestive of suboptimal care received by the patients. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Injury Severity Score (ISS): An anatomical scoring system that provides an overall severity score for patients with multiple injuries. ISS (from Susan Baker) is also synonymously used with NISS (New ISS- from Osler). | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inpatients mortality in all trauma patients admitted with an ISS score less than 16 (ISS <16). 2. All patients with an ISS score < 16 who were discharged and brought in dead due to trauma related causes. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Death of patients with minor trauma who presented late (after 24 hours) to the hospital or were transferred in after a period of hospitalization in another facility. 2. Patients with minor trauma and died due to other cause not directly related to trauma (e.g., patient who had humerus fracture, but died due to myocardial infarction). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of trauma patients with ISS <16 who survived | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of trauma patients with ISS <16 | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : 100% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in ICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ admission & discharge record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="578 1614 1373 1789"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Remarks | : | |
|----------------|---|--|



| Discipline | : | Trauma Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of trauma laparotomy cases performed without complication | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Any complications arising from trauma laparotomy will lead to more morbidity and mortality to the patient. Reference: National and international policies of the 'Safe Surgery Safe Life'. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Trauma laparotomy: Any laparotomy done for intra-abdominal injury. Complications of laparotomy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iatrogenic bowel injury. • Iatrogenic solid organ injury. • Iatrogenic abdominal vascular injury. • Anastomotic leak post bowel anastomosis. • Miss obvious major injuries – solid organ or bowel injuries. • Bleeding post splenectomy. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. All trauma laparotomies done within the facility. Exclusion: 1. All trauma laparotomies done by other facilities and transferred in for further management. 2. Non trauma related/ medical complications (e.g., Myocardial Infarction, respiratory failure, Acute Kidney Injury). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of trauma laparotomy cases performed without complication | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of trauma laparotomy cases performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in ICU or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department / Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department / Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| CARDIOTHORACIC SURGERY | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Elective isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery mortality rate (High volume centres) | Effectiveness | ≤ 3% | 6 Monthly |
| 1b | Elective isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery mortality rate (Low volume centres) | Effectiveness | ≤ 7% | 6 Monthly |
| 2 | Incidence rate of pneumothorax following removal of chest drains | Safety | ≤ 5 % | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients who underwent Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery within (≤) 9 months from the time decision made | Efficiency | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : Elective isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery mortality rate (High volume centres) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <p>1. CABG is the most common open heart surgical procedure currently being performed. However, there are various co-morbid factors which influence the outcome of cardiac surgery – age, co morbid illness e.g., diabetes, renal impairment and impaired left ventricular function. Risk stratification is necessary to enable common standardisation. There are various predictive scoring methods e.g., Parsonnet, STS score and Euroscore, which allows for comparison with international standards.</p> <p>2. It has also been shown that high volume centres consistently perform better than low volume centres. Thus, such data will be important for human resource management and financial allocation.</p> <p>Reference: Krisstin Thorsteinson et al. (2016, 1 February). Age-dependant trends in postoperative mortality and preoperative comorbidity in isolated coronary artery bypass surgery: a nationwide study. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (Volume 49, Issue 2, pp391-397).</p> |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Emergency surgery: Surgery performed immediately following referral from the cath lab (e.g., coronary artery dissection).</p> <p>Urgent surgery: Patient with high risk anatomy (e.g., tight left main stem disease) that require surgery within the same admission.</p> <p>Elective surgery: Surgery for patients with stable coronary artery disease or disease controlled on medication and is usually discharged and readmitted later for elective surgery.</p> <p>High volume centre: Centres which performs > 150 open heart procedures/ year.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All elective isolated coronary artery disease patients requiring CABG. Good Left Ventricular (LV) function – Euro Score II (EF ≥ 30%). Good kidney function – Euro Score II (CC ≥ 85ml/min). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with previous cardiac surgery (e.g., redo surgery). Patients requiring concomitant procedure (e.g., valve procedures). All inter and intra hospital referral (inpatient) cardiac surgeries. Poor Left Ventricular (LV) function - Euro Score II (EF < 30%). Reduced kidney function - Euro Score II (CC < 85ml/min). |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of deaths from elective isolated CABG |
| Denominator | : Total number of elective isolated CABG done |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : ≤ 3% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : 1. Where: Data will be collected in Cardiothoracic Ward/ OT/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. |



| | <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="565 533 1414 705"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|----------------|--|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : Elective isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery mortality rate (Low volume centres) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <p>1. CABG is the most common open heart surgical procedure currently being performed. However, there are various co-morbid factors which influence the outcome of cardiac surgery – age, co morbid illness e.g., diabetes, renal impairment and impaired left ventricular function. Risk stratification is necessary to enable common standardisation. There are various predictive scoring methods e.g., Parsonnet, STS score and Euroscore, which allows for comparison with international standards.</p> <p>2. It has also been shown that high volume centres consistently perform better than low volume centres. Thus, such data will be important for human resource management and financial allocation.</p> <p>Reference: Krisstin Thorsteinson et al. (2016, 1 February). Age-dependant trends in postoperative mortality and preoperative comorbidity in isolated coronary artery bypass surgery: a nationwide study. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (Volume 49, Issue 2, pp391-397).</p> |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Emergency surgery: Surgery performed immediately following referral from the cath lab (e.g., coronary artery dissection).</p> <p>Urgent surgery: Patient with high risk anatomy (e.g., tight left main stem disease) that require surgery within the same admission.</p> <p>Elective surgery: Surgery for patients with stable coronary artery disease or disease controlled on medication and is usually discharged and readmitted later for elective surgery.</p> <p>Low volume centre: Centres which performs < 150 open heart procedures/ year.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All elective isolated coronary artery disease patients requiring CABG. Good Left Ventricular (LV) function – Euro Score II (EF ≥ 30%). Good kidney function – Euro Score II (CC ≥ 85ml/min). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with previous cardiac surgery (e.g., redo surgery). Patients requiring concomitant procedure (e.g., valve procedures). All inter and intra hospital referral (inpatient) cardiac surgeries. Poor Left Ventricular (LV) function - Euro Score II (EF < 30%). Reduced kidney function - Euro Score II (CC < 85ml/min). |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of deaths from elective isolated CABG |
| Denominator | : Total number of elective isolated CABG done |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : ≤ 7% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : 1. Where: Data will be collected in Cardiothoracic Ward/ OT/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. |



| | <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="565 531 1414 703"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|----------------|--|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Surgery | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : Incidence rate of pneumothorax following removal of chest drains | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chest drains are routinely inserted following Cardiothoracic Surgery to remove any postoperative pleural fluids, blood or air leaks. Once its function is served and no further accumulation of fluid or air is expected, it is removed under a controlled situation. If performed correctly, the risk of developing pneumothorax is very small. 2. Studies indicate an incidence of < 10% and from that less than 10% may require reinsertion of chest drain. Reinsertion of chest drain may cause morbidity including increase risk of pleural space infection, anxiety and sometime may cause serious consequences if air leaks still present and not noticed. A recurrent pneumothorax of more than 15% or a symptomatic patient may necessitate reinsertion of chest drain. <p>Reference: Ronald L Eisenberg (2011, July). Are chest radiographs routinely indicated after chest tube removal following cardiac surgery/ AJR: 197.</p> | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : NA | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All post cardiac surgery patients. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who had undergone thoracic surgery. | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of incidences of pneumothorax following chest drain removal | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of chest drains removed | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 5\%$ | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Cardiothoracic Ward/ Operation Theatre/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ procedure book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 40%;"></td> <td style="width: 30%;">Prepared by</td> <td style="width: 30%;">Validated by</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Surgery |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of patients who underwent Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) surgery within (\leq) 9 months from the time decision made |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency |
| Rationale | : <p>1. Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) is a common cardiac surgical procedure being performed worldwide. Despite the advances in catheter-based therapies, there are still a large group of patients who requires CABG. As new patients are being referred for surgery, some may require emergency or even urgent surgical intervention it has necessitated a need for prioritization. It is also a reflection of shortage of surgical, intensive care and financial resources necessitating the creation of a waiting list.</p> <p>2. A waiting list for CABG candidate indicates prioritization according to clinical condition and is generally categorised as emergency, urgent and elective. Along waiting list may result in mortality, increased morbidity as the heart weakens following a long period of ischemia. It is generally regarded that a waiting list more than 6 months would indicate a need to review the provision, utilisation and funding of resources.</p> <p>Reference: Rexus H et al. (2005, February). Waiting and mortality after elective Coronary Bypass Grafting, Ann Thoracic Surg. (79(2): pp 538-543).</p> |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Emergency: Surgery performed immediately following referral from the cath lab (e.g., coronary artery dissection).</p> <p>Urgent: Patient with high risk anatomy (e.g., tight left main stem disease) that require surgery within the same admission.</p> <p>Elective surgery: Surgery for patients with stable coronary artery disease or disease controlled on medication and is usually discharged and readmitted later for elective surgery.</p> <p>Time decision made: It is the time patient was seen in Cardiothoracic Clinic/ Ward and decision was made for operation by the Cardiothoracic team.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients electively admitted for isolated CABG (outpatients). 2. Stable angina with good left ventricular function and normal kidney function. 3. Good Left Ventricular (LV) function – Euro Score II (EF \geq 30%). 4. Good kidney function – Euro Score II (CC \geq 85ml/min). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inter and intra hospital referrals (inpatient) requiring surgery. 2. Patients requiring concomitant procedure (e.g., valve procedures). |



| | <p>3. Patients who were postponed after given operation date/ after admission to ward for operation because their comorbid conditions were not optimised (e.g., smoking, uncontrolled diabetes).</p> <p>4. Patients who refused surgery.</p> <p>5. Poor Left Ventricular (LV) function - Euro Score II (EF < 30%).</p> <p>6. Reduced kidney function - Euro Score II (CC < 85ml/min).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients underwent CABG within (\leq) 9 months from the time decision made | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who were decided for CABG for the same period | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in Cardiothoracic Clinic.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ CABG record book/ OT list/ OT record book.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="568 1123 1412 1318"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 9 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for January 2022, it will be patients who were decided for CABG in April 2021. Both numerator and denominator will be patients of April 2021 who were decided for CABG. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| COLORECTAL SURGERY | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 6 weeks for Colorectal Cancer surgery | Customer centeredness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with unclear surgical margins in Rectal Cancer surgery | Effectiveness | $\leq 10\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Post-operative mortality rate for all major elective colorectal surgery | Safety | $\leq 10\%$ | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Colorectal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 6 weeks for Colorectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | 1. To ensure no delay in Colorectal Cancer operation. 2. Early surgery prevents progression of disease. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Waiting time: From the time decision is made for surgery till the date given for surgery. 6 weeks: 42 days (irrespective working or non-working days). | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. All Colorectal Carcinoma decided for surgery; irrespective of location, type and staging of carcinoma. Exclusion: 1. Patients who refused the proposed date that was within 6 weeks. 2. Patients' condition is not permissible for surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with waiting time of ≤ 6 weeks for Colorectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients planned for Colorectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | 1. Where: Data will be from Surgical Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from OT booking slot record book/ OT list/ appointment book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1348 1409 1522"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Colorectal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients with unclear surgical margins in Rectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | 1. To ensure complete resection of Rectal Cancer. 2. Unclear surgical margins is a precursor to cancer recurrence. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Margins: Include proximal, distal and circumferential margins. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. All Rectal Carcinoma; irrespective of location, type and staging of carcinoma. Exclusion: 1. Other colon carcinomas. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with unclear surgical margins in Rectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent Rectal Cancer surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 10\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be from Surgical Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ record book. Histopathological reports of all patients are collected and reviewed by respective surgeons to verify the margins clearance. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1220 1409 1392"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in March 2022; to allow time for reviewing HPE results to verify margin clearance. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Colorectal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Post-operative mortality rate for all major elective colorectal surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Monitoring of post-operative mortality rate is important to ensure quality of care provided by colorectal team of MOH is in par with other countries. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Post-operative mortality: Mortality following colorectal surgeries within the same admission or within (\leq) 30 days after surgery. Patients need to be seen in clinic around one month post-operative or followed up on the outcome via phone call with patient/ family member (if patient defaulted appointment). Colorectal surgeries: Surgeries that are done for colorectal diseases such as Colorectal Carcinoma, Diverticular Disease, Ulcerative Colitis and others. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All major elective colorectal surgeries. Exclusion: 1. Emergency colorectal surgeries. 2. Death after 30 days of operation. 3. Patients who defaulted post-operative appointments and family members were not contactable. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of surgical related deaths within (\leq) 30 days from major elective colorectal surgeries | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of major elective colorectal surgeries performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 10\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be from Surgical Outpatient Department/ surgical wards/ ICU/ wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1516 1404 1688"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in February 2022; to allow time for patients to be followed up during TCA to review outcome. | | | | | | | | | |



| GENERAL SURGERY | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with postponement of surgery for urgent cases | Customer centeredness | NA | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Peri-operative Mortality Review (POMR) cases reported using vPOMR form | Efficiency | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Incidence rate of colonic perforation following colonoscopy | Safety | ≤ 0.5% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient / ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | General Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of General Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ time slips. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1075 1414 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | General Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at General Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the General Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 974 1416 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | General Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients with postponement of surgery for urgent cases | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appendicectomy and soft tissue infections are cases commonly postponed in some hospitals. Postponement of cases scheduled for surgery will require re-fasting and this leads to discomfort for patients especially if they are diabetics. 2. Postponement infers the accessibility of Operation Theatre (OT) within a hospital. 3. The objective of monitoring this indicator is to identify opportunity for improvement within the facilities with regards to accessibility of OT. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Urgent Cases: These are cases that need to be done within 24 hours from the time cases are posted. Reference: Garis Panduan POMR. Prioritisation of cases for emergency and elective surgery. 2018.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time from when a patient is posted till time start of surgery.</p> <p>Postponed cases: Number of patients that have been scheduled for urgent surgery but postponed (allowed orally and re-fasted) and rescheduled to be done on the following day.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients undergoing urgent surgery for appendicectomy, incision & drainage (I&D) and saucerization. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Other emergency surgeries. 2. All elective surgeries. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with postponement of surgery for urgent cases | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients posted for urgent surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | NA (To study the current trend & identify opportunity for improvement) | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the OT. 2. Who: Data will be collected by OT Sister. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from OT record book for postponed cases. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1682 1416 1854"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |



| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Discipline | : General Surgery | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of Peri-operative Mortality Review (POMR) cases reported using vPOMR form | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. POMR has become an international indicator under the Global Surgery 2030 by Lancet and Worldbank which is supported by WHO. 2. It is a form of clinical audit and proven to be an important tool used to improve outcome in the clinical practice, particularly in Surgery. Hence, improving surgical quality of care as a whole. It is also has become one of the important criteria for surgeon in MOH Surgery Policy 2018. <p>Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guideline Implementation of Perioperative Mortality Review (POMR) in Ministry of Health (MOH), 2018 • Garis Panduan Pengisian Borang VPOMR, KKM, 2018. | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Perioperative Mortality: Any death occurring within the total length of hospital stay within the same admission of a surgical performed under general or regional anaesthesia including death in operation theatre before induction of anaesthesia. | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As per definition above. <p>Exclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surgery performed elsewhere/ during previous admission but patient was admitted and died during the present admission without surgical intervention. 2. Diagnostic and/ or therapeutic procedures carried out by physician and other non-surgeons. 3. Radiological procedures performed solely by the Radiologist without a surgeon's involvement. 4. Endoscopy (e.g., OGDS/ Colonoscopy/ ERCP) performed under sedation or/ and LA. 5. Surgery performed outside OT complex (e.g., procedure room). | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of POMR cases reported using vPOMR form | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of POMR death base on QAPOM2-2018 | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected from POMR coordinator. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from POMR report. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> <td style="width: 33%;">Prepared by</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Validated by</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |



| Discipline | : | General Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : | Incidence rate of colonic perforation following colonoscopy | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | 1. Colonoscopy is a common procedure done for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. 2. Complication rate following colonoscopy indicates safety of this procedure. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. All colonoscopy performed inclusive of both therapeutic and diagnostic colonoscopy. Exclusion: NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of colonic perforations following colonoscopy | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of colonoscopies performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 0.5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Scope Room and ward that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1213 1416 1390"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| HEPATOBIILIARY SURGERY | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of non-life-threatening referral that are given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 1 month | Efficiency | $\geq 75\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of new Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) case from index referral that are given appointment within (\leq) 14 days | Efficiency | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Post-operative mortality rate for all major elective Hepatobiliary Surgery | Safety | $\leq 10\%$ | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Hepatobiliary Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of non-life-threatening referral that are given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 1 month | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A patient with a hepatobiliary illness should be able to gain access to our public health system without delay. 2. The time interval (from the date a new patient requested for an appointment till the date of the first appointment given) reflects on one aspect of accessibility. Delay is a failure to provide service according to needs and may lead to deterioration of the patient's illness. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Waiting time: From the date of requested appointment to the date of given appointment.</p> <p>1 month: 30 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Total number of new non-life-threatening hepatobiliary cases referred for outpatient appointments. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who defaulted the first appointment given. 2. Patients who request to see a specific doctor. 3. Patients who request to delay the appointment date given within 1 month. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 1 month | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients given appointment for first consultation | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 75\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be from Hepatobiliary Surgery Unit/ Department. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from appointment record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1522 1416 1696"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Hepatobiliary Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of new Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) case from index referral that are given appointment within (\leq) 14 days | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> ERCP is a common procedure done by Hepatobiliary surgeons. ERCP is a procedure done to diagnose and treat problems in the liver, gall bladder, bile ducts and pancreas. It combines X-ray and the use of an endoscope. It is important to have patients given early appointment as it affects the management and outcome of a patient. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Index referral: New cases/ patients referred to Hepatobiliary team for Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) from the date requested to the given appointment.</p> <p>14 days: 14 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Total number of index referrals undergoing ERCP. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients who default the first appointment given. Patients who request to delay the appointment date given within 14 days. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of index referrals undergoing ERCP within (\leq) 14 days | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of index referrals undergoing ERCP | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be from Hepatobiliary Surgery Ward/ Endoscopy Suite. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from appointment book/ procedure book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1451 1414 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Hepatobiliary Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 3 | : Post-operative mortality rate for all major elective Hepatobiliary surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Internationally, it is found that post-operative mortality rate of major Hepatobiliary surgery is quoted to be around 10%. 2. Monitoring of post-operative mortality rate is important to ensure quality of care provided by MOH is in par with other countries. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Post-operative mortality: Mortality following all major elective Hepatobiliary surgery within the same admission or within (\leq) 30 days after surgery. Patients need to be seen in clinic around one month post-operative or followed up on the outcome via phone call with patient/ family member (if patient defaulted appointment). | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All major elective hepato-pancreatico-biliary surgeries. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cholecystectomy- either open or laparoscopy. 2. Common bile duct exploration. 3. All emergency Hepatobiliary surgery. 4. Death after 30 days of operation. 5. Patients who defaulted post-operative appointments and family members were not contactable. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of surgical related deaths within (\leq) 30 days from major elective Hepatobiliary surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of major elective Hepatobiliary surgeries performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 10\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be from Hepatobiliary Surgery Unit/ Department. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="581 1549 1414 1724"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|---|
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in February 2022; to allow time for patients to be followed up during TCA to review outcome. |
|----------------|---|

++++++



| NEUROSURGERY | | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Case Fatality Rate | Effectiveness | \leq 2% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients with surgical site infection following clean elective neurosurgical surgery | Safety | \leq 5% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Neurosurgery |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Neurosurgery |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 940 1416 1113"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Neurosurgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Case Fatality Rate | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) is common and while, typically benign; has a very low risk of death sequelae, < 1%. 2. Management for mild TBI is provided by many primary and secondary centres. 3. Important considerations in the management is to provide care in accordance with the national guidelines to avoid this preventable mortality. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Fatality: Death of patients with isolated mild TBI within the same hospitalisation.</p> <p>Mild TBI: Patient with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 13 to 15; measured at approximately 30 minutes after the injury.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acute isolated brain injury caused by blunt external force. 2. Direct admission with GCS 13-15. 3. Patients of ≥ 18 years of age. 4. Death occurring during the same hospitalisation. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acute brain injury caused by penetrating force or non-trauma such as stroke. 2. Polytrauma where two or more serious injuries in at least (≥) two area of the body. 3. Patients of < 18 years of age. 4. Death from causes other than mild TBI (e.g., Myocardial Infarction). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with mild TBI who dies within the same hospitalisation | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients admitted for mild TBI | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≤ 2% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Neurosurgical wards/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ admission & discharge record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1648 1412 1816"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Neurosurgery |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of patients with surgical site infection following clean elective neurosurgical surgery |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surgical site infections are a common cause of health care-associated infection. The reported rate ranges from 0.5-7.2% for cranial surgery and about 3.1% for spine surgery. 2. The most important factors for prevention of surgical site infection are timely administration of effective preoperative antibiotics and careful attention to other preoperative control measures. Careful infection control is essential; interventions include hand hygiene and use of gloves and other barrier devices (masks, caps, gowns, drapes, and shoe covers) by all operating room personnel. 3. Application of antiseptics to the skin is warranted to reduce the burden of skin flora. Patient with evidence of active infection prior to elective surgical procedure should complete treatment for the infection prior to surgery, particularly in circumstance when placement of prosthetic material is anticipated. The professional commitments in implementing these control measures for prevention of surgical site infection cannot be over-emphasized. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Surgical site infection (SSI): It is defined as infection related to an operative procedure that occurs at or near the surgical incision within (\leq) 30 days of the procedure.</p> <p>Clinical criteria for SSI include one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A purulent exudate draining from a surgical site. • A positive fluid culture obtained from a surgical site that was closed primarily. • A surgical site that is treated or reopened in the setting of at one clinical sign of infection (pain, swelling, erythema, warmth). |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All elective cranial and spinal surgery. 2. Adult and paediatric patients. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elective cranial and spinal surgery for infective conditions (e.g., abscess). 2. Re-surgery cases. 3. Cancer therapy patients (chemotherapy and radiation therapy). 4. Patients with active infection at a remote site. 5. Surgery done for external CSF diversion procedures (e.g., EVD, lumbar drain). 6. Patients who defaulted TCA. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with wound infection following clean elective neurosurgical surgery |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients underwent clean elective neurosurgical surgery |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\leq 5\%$ |



| <p>Data Collection & Verification</p> | <p>: 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ wound slip. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="609 567 1412 737"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|--|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Remarks</p> | <p>: Data collection to be done by 3 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who had operation done in January 2022; as patient needs to be reviewed during the next TCA to obtain information on surgical site infection.</p> | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with Eclampsia administered magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$) | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of massive primary Postpartum Haemorrhage (PPH) incidence in cases delivered in the hospital | Safety | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of patients with unrecognised intraoperative ureteric injury during benign gynaecological surgery | Safety | $\leq 1.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Obstetrics and Gynaecology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter;</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking, imaging, colposcopy, urodynamic study, amniocentesis or intrauterine insemination). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1140 1406 1314"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Obstetrics and Gynaecology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT / ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Anaesthesiology All outpatients of the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking, imaging, colposcopy, urodynamic study, amniocentesis or intrauterine insemination). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1102 1404 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Obstetrics and Gynaecology |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients with Eclampsia administered magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This indicator is selected to ensure all mothers with Eclampsia are given magnesium sulphate. 2. Eclampsia occurs in about 1.6 - 10 cases/ 10000 deliveries. The diagnosis of Eclampsia is unambiguous and data is currently collected in an established manner. The incidence of Eclampsia is reflective of the effectiveness of management of hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. The use of this indicator would reflect conformance to current evidence based management strategies by the O&G discipline. 3. Current evidence suggests that magnesium sulphate is the drug of choice in the treatment of women with Eclampsia. It reduces the number of maternal deaths as well as respiratory and neurological complications. It also reduces recurrent fits. 4. It also reduces neonatal admissions to and length of stay in NICU. <p>Reference: Collaborative Eclampsia Trial. Lancet 1995.</p> |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Eclampsia: Occurrence of one or more generalized tonic clonic convulsions with underlying hypertensive disorder in pregnancy, in the absence of other neurological conditions.</p> <p>Administered magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄): At least administration of loading dose of MgSO₄.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients with Eclampsia. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with contraindication for MgSO₄. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with Eclampsia administered MgSO ₄ |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients with Eclampsia |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Labour Ward/ High Dependency Ward (HDW). 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ MgSO₄ record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. |



| | <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="617 262 1416 436"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="617 262 857 298"></th> <th data-bbox="857 262 1117 298">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1117 262 1416 298">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="617 298 857 367">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="857 298 1117 367">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1117 298 1416 367">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="617 367 857 436">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="857 367 1117 436">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1117 367 1416 436">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Obstetrics and Gynaecology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of massive primary Postpartum Haemorrhage (PPH) incidence in cases delivered in the hospital | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : The incidence of massive obstetric haemorrhage is reflective of the effectiveness of the management of haemorrhage at delivery. PPH occurs in 3-5% of pregnant mothers and is still the leading cause of maternal death in Malaysia. The use of this indicator would be reflective of prompt diagnosis and speed of instituting multidisciplinary care. Reference: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green-top Guideline No. 52, May 2009. • CEMD Training Module for PPH. • Hazra S et al. J Obstet Gynaecol 2004 Aug; 24 (5) 519-20. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Massive Postpartum Haemorrhage (PPH): Total amount of blood loss of more than (>) 1.5 litres within (≤) 24 hours of delivery. Delivery includes both the vaginal and abdominal routes. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All deliveries within the facility - Both vaginal and abdominal routes. Exclusion: 1. Adherent Placenta (e.g., Accreta/ Increta/ Percreta). 2. Placenta Previa. 3. Abruption Placenta. 4. Patients delivered outside of the facility. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with massive primary PPH in the hospital | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of deliveries in the hospital | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≤ 0.5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Labour Ward/ High Dependency Ward (HDW). 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes / delivery record book/ massive PPH census. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1669 1412 1837"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as HPIA and Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |



| Discipline | : | Obstetrics and Gynaecology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : | Percentage of patients with unrecognised intraoperative ureteric injury during benign gynaecological surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient safety is the important emphasis in delivering medical care in MOH hospital. However, complications during surgery do occur but failure to recognise the complication is unacceptable. 2. In gynaecological surgery, ureteric injury is a recognisable complication; it is the responsibility of surgeon to recognise it during surgery whereby primary repair can be arranged. 3. To ensure competency and adherence to safety in performing hysterectomy for benign gynaecological conditions. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Ureteric injury: Any type of ureteric injury.</p> <p>Benign gynaecological surgery: Hysterectomy for benign gynaecological condition.</p> <p>Failure to recognise ureteric injury: Ureteric injury undiagnosed during surgery.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who underwent hysterectomy for benign gynaecological condition. <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with unrecognised intraoperative ureteric injury | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients with hysterectomy done for benign gynaecological condition | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 1.5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology wards. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes / OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1585 1412 1759"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|--|
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |
|----------------|--|

++++++



| OPHTHALMOLOGY | | | | |
|---------------|--|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients without pre-existing ocular co-morbidity obtained visual acuity of 6/12 or better within (\leq) 3 months following cataract surgery | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients developed Infectious Endophthalmitis following cataract surgery | Safety | $\leq 0.2\%$ | 6 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Ophthalmology |
| Indicator 1a | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following that patient needs to re-register at respective clinical department counter (Two or more registration areas involved):</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Ophthalmology related assessment (excluding vision taking) for the patient.</p> <p>Healthcare worker: Any member of the Ophthalmology Team (Paramedic, Optometrist, Medical Officer or Ophthalmologist) that has the privileged to perform the assessment.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). |



| | 2. Patients that need to do non-ophthalmological procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the healthcare worker at the Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="574 1171 1414 1346"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Ophthalmology |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services to be less than 90 minutes in line with patient centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters are involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospital to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening policy of outpatient service in hospital, applying Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>If registration of patient with payment collection is done only at clinical department counter: Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Ophthalmology related assessment (excluding vision taking) for the patient.</p> <p>If the registration is done only at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no re-registration at clinical department counter: Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the healthcare worker who performed Ophthalmology related assessment (excluding vision taking) for the patient.</p> <p>Healthcare worker: Any member of the Ophthalmology Team (Paramedic, Optometrist, Medical Officer or Ophthalmologist) that has the privileged to perform the assessment.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). |



| | 2. Patients that need to do non-ophthalmological procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking and imaging). Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the healthcare worker at Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the healthcare worker at the Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1171 1393 1346"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Ophthalmology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients without pre-existing ocular co-morbidity obtained visual acuity of 6/12 or better within (\leq) 3 months following cataract surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cataract is a preventable blindness. 2. Cataract surgery is indicated to improve the quality of life. Therefore, by measuring this indicator, we can monitor the quality of service given. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Pre-existing ocular comorbidities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetic Maculopathy. • Advanced Diabetic Eye Disease. • Macula Scar from any cause. • Amblyopia. • Optic neuropathy from any cause. • Cornea opacities from any cause. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All elective cataract surgeries. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with pre-existing ocular co-morbidity that will affect visual outcome. 2. All emergency and semi-emergency cataract surgeries. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients without pre-existing ocular co-morbidity obtained visual acuity 6/12 or better within (\leq) 3 months following cataract surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients without pre-existing ocular co-morbidity underwent cataract surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from National Eye Database. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1528 1416 1703"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 3 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for January 2022, it will be patients who had cataract surgery done in October 2021; as patient needs to be reviewed during the next TCA to follow up on visual acuity. | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|--|--|
| | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |
|--|--|



| Discipline | : | Ophthalmology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of patients developed Infectious Endophthalmitis following cataract surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Infectious Endophthalmitis is a rare but devastating complication after cataract surgery which may lead to permanent blindness. Morbidity associated with post-operative Infectious Endophthalmitis can be substantial and is related not only to acute process but also to late sequelae. 2. The causes can be multifactorial from patient to surgical environmental factors (contamination of sterilized instruments, disposable supplies, theatre environment, etc. 3. Monitoring of this KPI is mandatory to ensure safety of the service. <p>Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NED report (2018). • Royal College of Ophthalmology Guideline: RCOph(2016). | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Infectious Endophthalmitis: Infection involving both the anterior and posterior segments of the eye after cataract surgery. A patient post cataract can develop Infectious Endophthalmitis any time after the cataract surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All elective cataract surgeries. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All emergency and semi-emergency cataract surgeries. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients developed Infectious Endophthalmitis following cataract surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent cataract surgery during the specified period | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 0.2\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Ophthalmology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from National Eye Database. 4. How frequent: 6 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="618 1619 1414 1793"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|--|
| Remarks | : The incidence of Infectious Endophthalmitis is monitored by grouping patients in 6 months, based on their date of cataract surgery. E.g., for January-June 2022 (6 monthly data), it will be all patients that underwent cataract surgery in January-June 2022. The outcome of Infectious Endophthalmitis being a sentinel event will be captured as numerator. *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |
|----------------|--|

++++++



| ORTHOPAEDIC | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of patients with waiting time of less than (\leq) 75 minutes to see doctor in Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic after completion of pre-planned procedure | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with surgical site infection following clean elective orthopaedic surgery | Safety | $\leq 2\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of unacceptable internal fixations of fracture requiring revision | Effectiveness | $\leq 2\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of post primary total knee replacement patient with length of stay in hospital of ≤ 5 working days | Effectiveness | $\geq 80\%$ | 6 Monthly |
| 5 | Post-operative sepsis rate in Orthopaedic | Safety | $\leq 3\%$ | 6 Monthly |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Orthopaedic |
| Indicator 1 | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of less than (\leq) 75 minutes to see doctor in Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic after completion of pre-planned procedure |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient-centred services must be given priority to prompt attention to patient's needs by reducing waiting times for consultation. 2. It is the aim of the MOH to reduce the waiting times to a minimum in line with the Circular of the Director-General of Health Malaysia No. 6/2004 – Steps to Reduce the Waiting Time in MOH Facilities. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter/ time of appointment given to patient/ time of completion of required pre-planned procedure (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p>Pre-planned procedure: Whereby the following are required prior to consultation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Imaging procedure. • Cast removal. • Blood investigation. • Other relevant procedures. |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment (“walk-in” patients). <p>Sampling:</p> <p>Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> <p>For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of \leq 75 minutes to see the doctor at the Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic after completion of pre-planned procedure |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. |



| | <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|----------------|--|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Discipline | : Orthopaedic |
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of patients with surgical site infection following clean elective orthopaedic surgery |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surgical site infection is multi-factorial. The surgeon has a role in its prevention. Attention to details that includes pre-operative preparation, intra-operative soft tissue handling and post-operative wound care. Surgical site infection would be a reflection of such care. 2. Infection of surgical wounds is a significant nosocomial infection problem in hospitals, which in turn is an important issue in patient safety. Timely investigation of higher than expected rates of infection may identify issues relating to preventative factors for corrective action. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Elective surgery: Planned, scheduled, and well prepared patient.</p> <p>Clean surgery: Surgery in patients with no prior laceration wound at the surgical site or presence of wound/ sore/ infection in the body, or presence of acute severe soft tissue injury.</p> <p>Surgical site infection (SSI): Includes both the superficial and deep infection (Centres of Disease Control and Prevention guideline). The cut-off point to be considered SSI is <u>3 months post-surgery</u>. Therefore, all the clean elective operative patients must be seen/ reviewed at around 3 months post-op.</p> <p>Centres of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); Definitions of surgical site infection (SSI):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Superficial infection: Involves only the skin and subcutaneous tissue of the incision AND the patient has <u>at least one</u> of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Purulent drainage from the superficial incision. b. Organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue from the superficial incision. c. At least one of the following signs or symptoms of infection (pain or tenderness, localized swelling, redness or heat). d. Superficial incision is deliberately opened by surgeon, unless incision is culture-negative. e. Diagnosis of superficial incisional SSI by the surgeon or attending physician. 2. Deep infection: Infection involved deep soft tissues (e.g., fascia and muscle layers) of the incision AND the patient has <u>at least one</u> of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Purulent drainage from the deep incision but not from the organ/ space component of the surgical site. b. A deep incision spontaneously dehisces or is deliberately opened by a surgeon when the patient has at least one of the following signs or symptoms (unless incision is culture-negative): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Fever (>38°C). ii. Localized pain or tenderness. iii. An abscess or other evidence of infection involving the deep incision is found on direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathological or radiological examination. |



| | | <p>iv. Diagnosis of deep incisional surgical site infection by a surgeon or attending physician.</p> <p>**Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not count stitch abscesses (minimal inflammation and discharge confined to the points of suture penetration), or a localized stab wound infection as a surgical site infection. If the incisional site infection involves or extends into the fascia and muscle layers; report as a deep incisional SSI. An infection that involves both the superficial and deep incision sites should be classified as a deep incisional surgical site infection. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All patients who underwent clean elective orthopaedic surgery. This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arthroplasty. Arthroscopic surgery. Spine surgery. Deformity correction. Non-union. Delayed union. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All emergency and semi-emergency surgeries. External fixation. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with surgical site infection in clean elective orthopaedic surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent clean elective orthopaedic surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 2% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Orthopaedic Outpatient Clinic/ Orthopaedic wards/ wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ wound slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="571 1591 1416 1768"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|--|
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 4 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for May 2022, it will be patients who had operation done in January 2022; as patient needs to be reviewed during the next TCA to obtain information on surgical site infection. |
|----------------|--|



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : Orthopaedic |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of unacceptable internal fixations of fracture requiring revision |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suboptimal fracture fixations delay/ prevent early recovery of patient. Increases morbidity and mortality, cost, and contribute to resource wastage. 2. Re-surgery also increases risk of nosocomial infection and length of hospital stay. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Internal fixation: Any form of device to hold the bone fragments internally, includes any form of plate, nail, screw or wire buried under the skin.</p> <p>The number used in this indicator is based on <u>number of internal fixations of fracture</u> done and not the number of patients (e.g., if a patient had an internal fixation of radius and ulna on the same forearm and also internal fixation of humerus; it is calculated as 3 fixations and not just 1).</p> <p>Unacceptable: Fixations that are considered to result in poor fracture reduction, this may refer to the bone or fixation device. This decision is made by the senior surgeon or Head of Department.</p> <p>Revision: Corrective surgery to redo the fracture alignment or device configuration in areas as stated in the inclusion criteria. This decision is made by the senior surgeon or Head of Department.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All long bone fractures; as in femur, tibia, fibula, humerus, radius and ulna. 2. All peri-articular fractures around shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip (neck of femur), knee and ankle. 3. All small bone fractures (including carpal, metacarpal, metatarsal and tarsal bone) in the hand or foot. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pelvic and acetabulum fractures; scapula and glenoid fractures; and also spine injury. 2. All external fixations. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of unacceptable internal fixations of fracture requiring revision |
| Denominator | : Total number of internal fixations of fracture performed |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\leq 2\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Orthopaedic wards/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book/ Internal Fixation record list. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by | |
| | | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | |



| Discipline | : Orthopaedic | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : Percentage of post primary total knee replacement patient with length of stay in hospital of ≤ 5 working days | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Knee replacement surgery (arthroplasty) involves replacing a damaged, worn or diseased knee with an artificial joint. 2. It's a routine operation for knee pain most commonly caused by arthritis. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Primary total knee replacement: A surgical procedure to replace both sides of the knee joint with artificial material.</p> <p>Length of stay: Time taken from Day 1 post operation to the time when the patient discharged home.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All non-complicated primary total knee replacement. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bilateral total knee replacement. 2. Revision surgery. 3. Patients with length of stay more than 5 working days due to their co-morbidities not due to the knee replacement surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of post primary total knee replacement patients with length of stay in hospital of ≤ 5 working days | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who underwent primary total knee replacement | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Orthopaedic wards/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT record book/ admission & discharge record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="573 1522 1369 1696"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is only applicable in facilities that perform total knee replacement surgeries. *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Discipline | : Orthopaedic |
| Indicator 5 | : Post-operative sepsis rate in Orthopaedic |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety |
| Rationale | : Treating and caring for patient in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Definition of Sepsis (Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) Guidelines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to an infection. <p>Malaysian Registry of Intensive Care</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sepsis refers to documented infection with 2 out of 4 SIRS criteria • Temperature > 38.3°C or < than 36° • Total white cell count > 12000 or < 4000 • Heart rate > 90/min • Respiration rate > 20 breath/minute or PaCO₂ < 32 mmHg <p>Severe sepsis is sepsis with one of the following organ dysfunctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypotension: Systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg or mean arterial pressure < 70 mmHg • PaO₂/FiO₂ ≤ 300 mmHg • Acute decrease in platelet count to < 100,000 u/L • Acute increase in total bilirubin to > 70umol/L • Acute increase serum creatinine to > 170umol/L or urine output < 0.5 mL/kg/hour > 2 hours • Serum lactate > 4 mmol/l <p>Post-operative period is within one month post-surgery</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Close fracture fixation with implant only <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pre-existing sepsis and pre-existing infection 2. Pre-existing immune compromised state (e.g.: Uncontrolled Diabetes Mellitus, Retroviral positive, Malignancy) |



| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Pre-existing organ dysfunction (e.g.: Liver failure, ESRF, Peripheral vascular disease) 4. Patient 18 years old and below 5. Pregnancy 6. Poly-trauma patient 7. Revision fixation surgery 8. External fixation or K -Wire | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Type of indicator | Rate - based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | Number of patients with post-operative sepsis after close fracture fixation with implant | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | Total number of close fracture fixation with implant | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | ≤ 3% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected from Orthopaedic Ward or ward that cater for the problem. 2. Who: Data will be collected by the staff in-charge of the ward and submit to the Quality Unit of the hospital for compilation. 3. How to collect: Data will be collected from the patient's records or admission book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by the Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of ears with hearing improvement 3 months post myringoplasty | Effectiveness | $\geq 70\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Incidence rate of primary post-tonsillectomy haemorrhage | Safety | $\leq 3\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Otorhinolaryngology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1075 1416 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Otorhinolaryngology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 976 1412 1144"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Otorhinolaryngology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of ears with hearing improvement 3 months post myringoplasty | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Myringoplasty is not a complicated surgery, can be done by general ORL surgeons. It is a procedure that is performed in all ORL centres which allows comparison of services between different centres. 2. Outcome which is hearing improvement post-myringoplasty can be measured objectively by pure tone audiometry. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Improvement of hearing: It is the improvement 3 months post myringoplasty by a minimum of 5 dB at least one frequency by pure tone audiometry. Patient should be seen in ORL clinic within 3 to 6 months post myringoplasty to assess on hearing improvement. The number used in this indicator is based on <u>number of ears with myringoplasty done</u> and not the number of patients. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients of ≥ 18 years of age. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients of < 18 years of age. 2. Revision surgery. 3. Total perforation. 4. Combine procedure (e.g., combined with mastoidectomy). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of ears with hearing improvement 3 months post myringoplasty | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of ears with myringoplasty done | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 70\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ myringoplasty record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1518 1416 1690"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 6 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for July 2022, it will be patients who had myringoplasty in January 2022. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Otorhinolaryngology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Incidence rate of primary post-tonsillectomy haemorrhage | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tonsillectomy is one of the commonest otorhinolaryngology surgical procedures and can be conducted by the specialist as well as trained medical officers. It can potentially cause significant morbidity and mortality. 2. Internationally, the standard for primary post-tonsillectomy haemorrhage is less than 3%. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Primary haemorrhage: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Haemorrhage which occurs within 24 hours of surgery. 2. The haemorrhage shall be objectively identified clinically (e.g., active bleeding on the tonsillar bed). | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All tonsillectomies performed. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tonsillectomy done as part of other procedures (e.g., sleep apnoea surgery). 2. Bleeding due to patient's premorbid (e.g., bleeding disorder). 3. Secondary haemorrhage: bleeding after 24 hours of surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of primary post-tonsillectomy haemorrhages | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of tonsillectomies performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 3\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the ICU/ ENT Ward/ Multidisciplinary Ward/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1451 1414 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| PAEDIATRIC SURGERY | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Incidence rate of anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention | Safety | $\leq 15\%$ | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Incidence rate of white/ normal appendix during appendectomy | Safety | $\leq 5\%$ | 6 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Paediatric Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at <u>hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 1075 1442 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Paediatric Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>If registration of patient with payment collection is done <u>ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER</u>:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p>If the registration is done <u>ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER</u>, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling:</p> <p>Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 976 1442 1144"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Paediatric Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Incidence rate of anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : 1. Measures clinical competency and judgement of the respective surgeon. 2. The aim is for reduction in anastomotic leak which in return minimizes morbidity and mortality. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Anastomosis: All anastomosis of gastrointestinal tract and biliary tract operations. Leak: It is a leak that requires surgical intervention/ reoperation within 30 days. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: 1. All patients who underwent anastomosis and suture of gastrointestinal and biliary tract operations inclusive of neonate. 2. Both elective and emergency operations. Exclusion: 1. Anastomosis done in babies' weight less than 2 kg. 2. Genitourinary tract anastomosis. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention after undergoing anastomosis of gastrointestinal and biliary tract operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients underwent anastomosis of gastrointestinal and biliary tract operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 15\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : 1. Where: Data will be collected in OT/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 1451 1443 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients that underwent anastomosis of gastrointestinal and biliary tract operations in March 2022; in view of one month period where these patients can present with anastomotic leak. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Paediatric Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Incidence rate of white/ normal appendix during appendicectomy | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To prevent unnecessary appendicectomy in children. To avoid wastages of consumables and human resources. Incidence of white/ normal appendix is quoted to be 5-10% internationally. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : White or normal appendix: It is appendix that looked normal at surgery. It must also be supported by histological (HPE) findings. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All appendicectomies done by Paediatric Surgery Department/ Unit. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Incidental appendicectomy. Detection of other pathologies that required surgery (e.g., torsion of ovary, perforated Meckel diverticulum). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of white/ normal appendix during appendicectomy | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of appendicectomy performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in OT/ ICU/ CCU/ CRW/ NICU/ Paediatric Surgery Outpatient Clinic or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. Histopathological reports of all patients are collected and reviewed to verify the results. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1354 1442 1528"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 3 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in January 2022; to allow time for reviewing HPE results. | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| PLASTIC AND RECONSTRUCTIVE SURGERY | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of cleft lip/ palate patients that were given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 6 weeks at Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | Customer centeredness | \geq 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Full Thickness Skin Graft (FTSG) with \geq 80% graft take following elective surgery | Effectiveness | \geq 90% | 6 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of post-palatoplasty haemorrhage patients reintubated and/ or returned to operating theatre within (\leq) 24 hours of primary palate repair | Safety | \leq 5% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1039 1404 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 940 1406 1113"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of cleft lip/ palate patients that were given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 6 weeks at Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Priority is given to the management the baby's medical and feeding issues before counselling the parents on the surgical management of the cleft. 2. The consultation time within the 6 weeks period is deemed appropriate. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Appointment: Time taken from the date of receiving referrals to the date of first appointment given to see the doctor.</p> <p>6 weeks: The next available clinic appointment within the 6 weeks period. It is 42 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All cleft cases referred to Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who default the first appointment given. 2. Patients who request to see a specific doctor. 3. Patients who request to delay the appointment date given within 6 weeks. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of cleft lip/ palate patients that were given appointment for first consultation within (\leq) 6 weeks at Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of cleft lip/ palate patients referred to Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic/ Plastic SOPD. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1520 1414 1692"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of Full Thickness Skin Graft (FTSG) with ≥ 80% graft take following elective surgery |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is an essential component of the reconstructive surgeon. 2. Full Thickness Skin Graft (FTSG) is technically a tedious procedure. It requires a well vascularised wound bed to support the grafted skin. A wrong assessment by the surgeon, poor technique and poor postoperative care will lead to failure of the graft take. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Graft take: Refers to a process where the grafted skin adhere to the wound bed, revascularisation and remodelling of the healed skin graft. This process takes place in phases (e.g., adherence and imbibition (in the first 48 hours), revascularisation or neovascularisation (around day 4) and remodelling (12 to 18 months)).</p> <p>The crucial period of the process is the first 2 weeks that risks graft failure. Reasons for skin graft failure include hematoma, infection, seroma, shear, inappropriate wound bed and error in placement. FTSG can be done as inpatient or outpatient procedure depending on the requirement for types of anaesthesia, size of graft and other clinical requirement.</p> <p>Upon discharge patients are required to attend outpatient review. The first inspection of the graft is usually done one week after the procedure. Tie over or compressive dressing is usually applied onto the graft to avoid hematoma or shearing and to allow the revascularisation process to take place. Subsequent outpatient visit will be given from 3 to 7 days interval. A documentation of the percentage of graft take will be documented during these visits. The operating surgeon has to document if the graft has completely healed and does not require any further dressing.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients undergoing FTSG following elective surgery. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with known skin disease. 2. Patients who defaulted appointment. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of FTSG with ≥ 80% graft take following elective surgery |
| Denominator | : | Total number of FTSG performed by elective surgery |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Plastic Surgery Outpatient Clinic/ Plastic SOPD/ ward/ OT. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ FTSG record book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. |



| | <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="613 264 1412 436"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="613 264 857 296"></th> <th data-bbox="857 264 1125 296">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1125 264 1412 296">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="613 296 857 369">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="857 296 1125 369">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1125 296 1412 369">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="613 369 857 436">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="857 369 1125 436">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1125 369 1412 436">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : | Percentage of post-palatoplasty haemorrhage patients reintubated and/ or returned to operating theatre within (\leq) 24 hours of primary palate repair | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | 1. Primary haemorrhage is a known complication of palate repair and it is a surgical emergency. 2. Post-palatoplasty haemorrhage is a reflection of competency of the surgeon. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. All patients undergoing primary cleft palate repair. Exclusion: 1. Patients of > 12 years of age. 2. Patients with blood dyscrasia. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of post-palatoplasty haemorrhage patients reintubated and/ or returned to operating theatre within (\leq) 24 hours of primary palate repair | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent primary palate repair | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Ward or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1318 1412 1491"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL SURGERY | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of patients with clear surgical margin post resection of Gastric Tumour performed with curative intent | Effectiveness | ≥ 90% | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Incidence rate of oesophageal anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention | Safety | ≤ 10% | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients with Gastric Adenocarcinoma who underwent curative surgical resection (RO) where ≥ 15 lymph nodes are resected and pathologically examined | Effectiveness | ≥ 90% | 6 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of patients with Oesophageal or Gastric Tumour operated within (≤) 2 weeks after achieving pre-operative optimization | Customer centeredness | ≥ 80% | 6 Monthly |



| Discipline | : Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : Percentage of patients with clear surgical margin post resection of Gastric Tumour performed with curative intent | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tumour involvement of surgical resection margins is a negative prognostic factor. 2. Curative cancer surgery (RO) should aim to ensure complete excision of the tumour, as this affects the prognosis and long-term patient outcome. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Clear surgical margins: Complete excision of the tumour with clear margins. Margins include proximal and distal margins. HPE of tissue needs to be reviewed within 1 month by the operating team to confirm on clear surgical margins. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All Gastric Tumour surgery performed with curative intent. 2. Inclusive of cases post neo-adjuvant therapy. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All palliative Gastric Tumour surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with clear surgical margin post resection of Gastric Tumour performed with curative intent | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who underwent resection of Gastric Tumour with curative intent | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in wards that cater for the above condition/ clinic/ OT. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ HPE results. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1415 1435 1587"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients operated in March 2022; to allow time for reviewing HPE results to verify margin clearance. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Incidence rate of oesophageal anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Preoperative preparation for any major oesophageal surgery is important for a positive clinical outcome. 2. Improvement in preoperative general condition, stabilization of co-morbidities and proper patient selection are pertinent to improved clinical outcome. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Oesophageal anastomosis leak: It is a leak that requires <u>surgical intervention/ reoperation within 30 days.</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who undergo elective oesophago-gastric surgery for benign or malignant disease either conventional or thoracoscopic assisted surgery (inclusive of 2 or 3 stage oesophagectomy and any bowel interposition to the remnant to the oesophagus). Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Emergency oesophago-gastric surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with oesophageal anastomotic leak requiring surgical intervention after undergoing elective oesophago-gastric surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients underwent elective oesophago-gastric surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 10\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in wards that cater for the above condition/ clinic/ OT. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1415 1435 1587"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who underwent elective oesophago-gastric surgery in March 2022; in view of one month period where patient can present with oesophageal anastomotic leak. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of patients with Gastric Adenocarcinoma who underwent curative surgical resection (RO) where ≥ 15 lymph nodes are resected and pathologically examined | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Maximizing the number of lymph nodes resected and analysed enables reliable staging, which influences treatment decision making. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Curative surgical resection (RO): Curative gastrectomy should be done with intention of harvesting both tier one and tier two lymph nodes for adequate clearance and appropriate histological staging of degree of lymph node metastases. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All patients who undergo gastric surgery with curative intent (RO) for Gastric Adenocarcinoma. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Palliative gastrectomy. Neo-adjuvant chemo/ radiotherapy provided (will affect yield). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with Gastric Adenocarcinoma who undergo curative surgical resection (RO) where ≥ 15 lymph nodes are resected and pathologically examined | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients with Gastric Adenocarcinoma who underwent curative surgical resection (RO) | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in wards that cater for the above condition/ clinic/ OT. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT list/ OT record book. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1451 1425 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : Percentage of patients with Oesophageal or Gastric Tumour operated within (\leq) 2 weeks after achieving pre-operative optimization | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surgical resection is the only means of cure for patients with Oesophageal and Gastric Cancer. 2. Time to surgery is important to avoid unnecessary delays which would result in tumour progression and poor outcomes. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Pre-operative optimization: It involves a multi-disciplinary approach where patient's comorbidities are optimized, nutritional issues addressed, and pre-operative neo-adjuvant therapy if deemed necessary by the oncologist are completed. The patient is then considered 'optimized' and should be operated within 2 weeks.</p> <p>2 weeks: 14 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who are optimised for curative surgery. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who are deemed incurable/ palliative. 2. Patients who defaulted. 3. Patients who request to delay the given surgery date that was within 2 weeks. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with Oesophageal or Gastric Tumour who are operated within (\leq) 2 weeks after pre-operative optimization | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients with Oesophageal or Gastric Tumour who are operated after pre-operative optimization | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in wards that cater for the above condition/ OT. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1549 1435 1724"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |

+++++++



| UROLOGY | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of ureters that were stone free following ureterorenoscopy (URS) lithotripsy | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of safe percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) | Safety | $\geq 80\%$ | 6 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of safe transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) | Safety | $\geq 90\%$ | 6 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Urology |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Urology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Urology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 1041 1406 1213"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Urology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Urology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Urology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Urology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Urology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 940 1416 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Urology |
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of ureters that were stone free following ureterorenoscopy (URS) lithotripsy |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Endo-urological or minimally invasive urological procedures form the bulk of present-day urological practice. 2. Ureterorenoscopy (URS) with ureteric stone lithotripsy is the commonest endourological procedure performed. 3. As Urolithiasis forms 60-70% of urological practice in Malaysia, the stone clearance rate after the performance of this procedure is an accurate reflection of clinical effectiveness of Urology care. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Ureteric stone: Any stone in the proximal, middle or distal ureter.</p> <p>Lithotripsy: Fragmentation of stone using intracorporeal device of either Holmium Laser or Swiss Lithoclast.</p> <p>The number used in this indicator is based on <u>number of ureters</u> underwent URS lithotripsy done and not the number of patients.</p> <p>Stone free: Complete absence of any visible stone fragments along the ureter or in the ipsilateral kidney (retropulsed stone fragments) as seen in the immediate post op KUB X-ray.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All radiopaque ureteric stone regardless of stone size and location. Radiopaque means the stone can be seen on plain KUB X-ray (90% of all stones are radiopaque). 2. More than 1 stone in the ureter and bilateral ureteric stones are included if decision was made before the operation to treat them at the same setting. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All radiolucent stone (unable to visualize on a plain KUB X-ray). 2. Cancellation of procedure due to anaesthesia reasons, intraoperative instability due to underlying medical conditions or patients developing urosepsis. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of ureters that were stone free following URS lithotripsy for ureteric stone |
| Denominator | : Total number of ureters underwent URS lithotripsy for ureteric stone |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Urology Ward/ OT or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ procedure book. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. |



| | <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="597 264 1425 436"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="597 264 841 296"></th> <th data-bbox="841 264 1101 296">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1101 264 1425 296">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 296 841 369">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="841 296 1101 369">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1101 296 1425 369">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 369 841 436">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="841 369 1101 436">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1101 369 1425 436">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Urology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of safe percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Endo-urological or minimally invasive urological procedures form the bulk of present-day urological practice. 2. Percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) is the major urological procedure performed for the treatment of large or complex renal stones. 3. As Urolithiasis forms 60% - 70% of urological practice in Malaysia, the safe performance of this procedure is an accurate reflection of the quality of care in Urology. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Safe percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL): Absence of either one or more of the following complications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Septicaemia. • Bleeding requiring transfusion of more than 2 units of blood intraoperatively. • Injury to adjacent organ (e.g., lung, bowel). • Wound infection. • Unplanned admission to ICU. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All renal stones regardless of size and location. Full staghorn calculi are also included. Exclusion: NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of safe PCNL cases performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of PCNL performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Urology Ward/ OT or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ PCNL record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1528 1435 1705"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Urology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4 | : Percentage of safe transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is the gold standard surgical treatment for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH). 2. BPH is predominantly treated by medication and surgery is reserved for severe symptomatic BPH, failure of medical management and in situations where there are complications of BPH such as urinary retention. 3. The safe manner in which TURP is performed is a reflection of the standard of Urological training. 4. It also indicates appropriate case selection and supervision. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Safe transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP): Absence of either one or more of the following complications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post op length of stay greater than 5 days. • Bleeding requiring blood transfusion. • Return to OT during the same admission. • Perforation of the bladder. • TUR syndrome. • Septicaemia. • Unplanned admission to ICU. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All TURP performed on ASA I and II patients. <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of safe TURP cases performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of TURP performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Urology Ward/ OT or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ TURP record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="597 1654 1393 1822"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |

+++++++



| VASCULAR SURGERY | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Post-operative mortality rate for open repair of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) | Safety | $\leq 5\%$ | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of dialysis-access induced limb ischemia following native Arterio-Venous Fistula (AVF) creation | Safety | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 6 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Vascular Surgery |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Vascular Surgery |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Vascular Surgery Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 974 1414 1146"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Discipline | : | Vascular Surgery | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Post-operative mortality rate for open repair of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ruptured AAA carries a high morbidity with mortality rates as high as 80-90% in cases of free rupture. 2. Exclusion of AAA via open repair on the elective schedule lowers the mortality between 5-10% in patients without significant co-morbid medical problems. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA): Dilatation of the abdominal aorta of more than 3 cm at its widest diameter.</p> <p>Elective open repair: Open AAA repair scheduled and performed on an elective operating list.</p> <p>Semi-emergency open repair: Open repair of AAA slotted in the next available list within same admission for symptomatic of impending leak of the AAA.</p> <p>Post-operative mortality: Mortality following an open repair of AAA within the same admission or within (\leq) 30 days after surgery. Patients need to be seen in clinic around one month post-operative or followed up on the outcome via phone call with patient/ family member (if patient defaulted appointment).</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients undergoing open repair for AAA as an elective or semi-emergency procedure. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ruptured aneurysms. 2. Patients undergoing open repair for AAA as an emergency procedure. 3. Death after 30 days of operation. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of deaths following open repair of AAA | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients underwent open repair of AAA | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 5\%$ | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in surgical wards or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT list/ OT record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1780 1421 1885"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who had operation done in February 2022; as patient needs to be followed up after the operation. | | |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : Vascular Surgery |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of dialysis-access induced limb ischemia following native Arterio-Venous Fistula (AVF) creation |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A huge number of AVF's are performed due to the increasing incidence of Diabetes Mellitus, which is the most common cause of renal failure. 2. Dialysis-access induced limb ischemia is a known complication from AVF creation and this can lead to tissue loss or even limb loss. With careful selection of patients, this can be avoided. 3. Internationally, incidence of Ischaemic Steal Syndrome (ISS) is found to be ranging between 0.5 to 5 %. Monitoring of this indicator is important to ensure quality of care provided by MOH is in par with other countries. <p>Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategies for Predicting and Treating Access Induced Ischemic Steal Syndrome; Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 32, 309e315 (2006). • Steal in Hemodialysis Patients Depends on Type of Vascular Access Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 32, 710e717 (2006). |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Native AVF: Arterio-Venous Fistula configuration from one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Radio-cephalic AVF. • Brachio-cephalic AVF. • Brachio-basilic AVF. <p>Upper limb ischemia: Reduced perfusion to the ipsi-lateral upper limb within 30 days following AVF creation with significant signs and symptoms of ischemia. Patients need to be seen in clinic around one month post-operative to follow up on the post-operative outcome.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All native AVF performed for haemodialysis vascular access. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vascular access procedures performed using prosthetic grafts and catheters. 2. Vascular access procedures involving the lower limbs. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of dialysis-access induced limb ischemia within (\leq) 30 days following native AVF creation |
| Denominator | : Total number of native AVF created |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100 \%$ |
| Standard | : $\leq 0.5\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in surgical wards or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ OT list/ OT record book/ AVF record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. |



| | <p>Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="610 365 1416 537"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : Data collection to be done by 2 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who had operation done in February 2022; as patient needs to be followed up after the operation. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| ANAESTHESIOLOGY (GENERAL) | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of patients on Acute Pain Service (APS) with pain score of (\leq) 4 at rest within (\leq) the first 24 hours after surgery | Effectiveness | \geq 85% | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Ventilator care bundle (VCB) compliance rate | Safety | \geq 95% | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of elective surgical cancellations after pre-operative assessment in the Anaesthetic Clinic | Effectiveness | \leq 5% | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Anaesthesiology (General) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of patients on Acute Pain Service (APS) with pain score of (\leq) 4 at rest within (\leq) the first 24 hours after surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Post-operative patients in the wards sometimes do not have adequate pain relief despite being managed by the acute pain team. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Acute Pain Service (APS): It is a service provided by acute pain team for the post-operative patients.</p> <p>Pain score: Measures a patient's pain intensity using the MOH pain scale (zero to ten).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <p>1. All patients on APS.</p> <p>Exclusion:</p> <p>1. Day Care and ICU patients.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients on APS with pain score of ≤ 4 at rest within the first 24 hours after surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients on APS after surgery | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 85\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in wards that cater for the above conditions. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ APS record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1352 1416 1524"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Discipline | : Anaesthesiology (General) | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : Ventilator care bundle (VCB) compliance rate | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ventilator care bundle (VCB) is a set of interventions used to reduce the incidence of Ventilator Associated Pneumonia. 2. Ventilator Associated Pneumonia (VAP) is a complication that develops in a patient after 48 hours of mechanical ventilation, which carries morbidity and mortality. 3. The VCB is an on-going quality improvement initiative under the Malaysian Registry of Intensive Care. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Ventilator care bundle (VCB): A set of 4 interventions which are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Head elevation > 30 degrees. 2. The use of stress ulcer prophylaxis. 3. The use of deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis. 4. Daily interruption of sedation. Compliant to VCB is considered when all 4 of these interventions are done. | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients on invasive mechanical ventilation in General ICU. Exclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients ventilated outside of General ICU. 2. Patients of < 12 years of age. 3. Non-invasive ventilation such as BIPAP and HFNC. Sampling: Using an average of total ICU patients in a month, 25% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. Samples will be taken once a week. All patients on invasive mechanical ventilation in ICU at 8 am on one same day/ week (e.g., every Monday) will be the denominator. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : All patients on invasive mechanical ventilation and compliant to VCB bundle | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients on invasive mechanical ventilation | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 95\%$ | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in General ICU. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ ICU admission record book/ VCB record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1787 1409 1890"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | |



| Discipline | : Anaesthesiology (General) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of elective surgical cancellations after pre-operative assessment in the Anaesthetic Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : The effectiveness of the anaesthetic clinic should reflect in the reduced rate of cancellation due to anaesthetic reasons for elective surgeries and hence, increased customer satisfaction. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Surgical cancellation: It is cancellation of the surgery by the Anaesthetic team which includes reasons such as anaesthetic and/ or medical reasons such as uncontrolled Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension, Heart Disease etc. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All elective surgical cases seen in Anaesthetic Clinic for per-operative assessment. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients who were scheduled for elective operations but not had a pre-operative assessment done in Anaesthetic Clinic. Patient with URTI. Lack of ICU bed. Lack of OT time. Mechanical and electrical problem of OT including GA machine problems. Operation is cancelled by surgeon. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with elective surgical cancellations after pre-operative assessment in the Anaesthetic Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients scheduled for elective operation and had pre-operative assessment done prior in Anaesthetic Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in Anaesthetic Clinic and OT. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ Anaesthetic Clinic pre-operative patients' record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1585 1412 1753"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|--|
| Remarks | : The denominator is based on the date of scheduled elective operation (OT list) and not the date patient was seen in Anaesthetic Clinic for pre-operative assessment. |
|----------------|--|

++++++



| CARDIOTHORACIC ANAESTHESIOLOGY | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of post-elective cardiopulmonary bypass adult patients with blood glucose level \leq 11 mmol/L on arrival to Cardiac Intensive Care Unit (CICU) | Effectiveness | \geq 90% | 6 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of accidental carotid arterial puncture during central venous cannulation via Internal Jugular Vein (IJV) approach | Safety | \leq 5% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of thoracic surgical patients received Acute Pain Service (APS) | Customer centeredness | \geq 75% | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of post-elective cardiopulmonary bypass adult patients with blood glucose level ≤ 11 mmol/L on arrival to Cardiac Intensive Care Unit (CICU) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Post-operative patient with high blood glucose level is associated with surgical wound infection and prolonged hospital stay. 2. Post-operative sugar is a reflection of sugar control intraoperatively as most patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass are usually diabetic and requiring inotrope intraoperatively. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Adult: Age ≥ 18 years. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All adult patients that underwent elective cardiopulmonary bypass. Exclusion: NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of post-elective cardiopulmonary bypass adult patients with blood glucose level ≤ 11 mmol/L on arrival to CICU | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of post-elective cardiopulmonary adult patients in CICU | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in CICU. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ CICU admission record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1318 1414 1493"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of accidental carotid arterial puncture during central venous cannulation via Internal Jugular Vein (IJV) approach | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The use of central venous catheter via the IJV approach is frequently required in the management of cardiothoracic patients. 2. Accidental carotid artery puncture has an incidence of 6-25% and is associated with morbidity. 3. A standard of 5% was taken for this indicator as most central venous catheter insertion is done by well trained personnel. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Accidental carotid artery puncture: Process whereby the cannulating needle accidentally punctures the carotid artery during insertion. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All IJV cannulations done in cardiothoracic cases. <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of accidental carotid arterial punctures during central venous cannulation via IJV approach | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of central venous cannulation via IJV approach performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 5\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected OT/ Cardiac ICU/ CRW or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1381 1416 1556"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of thoracic surgical patients received Acute Pain Service (APS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Effective postoperative pain relief via APS helps reduce morbidity, aids recovery and decrease hospital length of stay. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Thoracic surgery patients: It includes both elective and emergency cases. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All thoracic surgical cases, both elective and emergency. 2. Closed cardiothoracic surgery with thoracic approach (e.g., PDA ligation). 3. Postoperative admission to Intensive Care Unit, High Dependency Ward and surgical ward. 4. Patients of ≥ 12 years of age. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All cases requiring cardiopulmonary bypass. 2. Patient who died intra-operatively. 3. Patient who underwent surgery under local anaesthesia or sedation. 4. Patients of < 12 years of age. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients on APS following thoracic surgery under general/ regional anaesthesia | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who underwent thoracic surgery under general/ regional anaesthesia | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 75\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in Cardiac ICU/ CRW/ HDW/ surgical wards or wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT list/ APS record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1480 1404 1654"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| GENETIC | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with intoxication type IEM with > 3 admissions in a year for metabolic decompensation | Effectiveness | $\leq 5\%$ | Yearly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients with Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome who are compliant to the Care Pathway | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Genetic |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Genetic Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1039 1412 1207"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Genetic |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All outpatients of the Genetic Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Genetic Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Genetic Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 940 1406 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Genetic | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of patients with intoxication type IEM with > 3 admission in a year for metabolic decompensation | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Frequent metabolic decompensation is significantly associated with suboptimal baseline metabolic control which reflects the outcome of outpatient care. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Intoxication type inborn error of metabolism (IEM): It includes the following disorder:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urea Cycle Disorder (NAGS, OTC, CPS1, ASS, ASA, Arginase deficiencies). • Organic Acidurias (PA, MMA, IVA). • Maple Syrup Urine Disease. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients with intoxication type IEM under follow up of Genetic Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients with intoxication type IEM with > 3 admissions in a year for metabolic decompensation | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients with intoxication type IEM under Genetic follow up | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Genetic database/ admission & discharge record book/ patient's case notes. 4. How frequent: Yearly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent yearly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent yearly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1436 1416 1608"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Genetic | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of patients with Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome who are compliant to the Care Pathway | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | For the provision of effective and standardised safe care. Complications from these genetic disorders may not be preventable but adherence to the care pathway ensure early/ pre-symptomatic detection to enable optimal treatment of these complication (e.g., lens dislocation, aortic rupture, tumours in the brain, kidneys, obstructive sleep apnoea etc.). | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Care Pathway: It is the evidence-based guidelines for the management of multisystemic genetic disorders. It is assessed by using a standardised form; which was prepared by Clinical Genetic services. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <p>1. All patients with Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome; and under follow up in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic.</p> <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome patients who are compliant to the Care Pathway | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome patients who are under follow up in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Genetic Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Marfan Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Prader Willi Syndrome Clinical Genetic database/ Care Pathway records/ patient's case notes. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1461 1416 1633"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| EMERGENCY MEDICINE | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Complication rate of procedural sedation and analgesia (PSA) | Safety | ≤ 5% | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) patients administered oral aspirin by Prehospital Care and Ambulance Services (PHCAS) responder | Effectiveness | ≥ 75% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid given in trauma patients with severe haemorrhage within 60 minutes of arrival to Emergency and Trauma Department. | Effectiveness | ≥ 70% | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : Emergency Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | : Complication rate of procedural sedation and analgesia (PSA) | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Procedural sedation and analgesia is a core competency in Emergency Medicine and a daily part of Emergency Department practice. 2. The complications following PSA is aimed to be lesser than 5%. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Procedural sedation and analgesia (PSA): Technique of administering sedatives or dissociative agents with or without analgesics; to induce an altered state of consciousness that allows the patient to tolerate unpleasant procedures while preserving cardiorespiratory function (ACEP Recommendations for Physician Credentialing, Privileging and Practice 2011).</p> <p>Complications of PSA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypotension. • Respiratory depression. • Desaturation with SpO₂ < 90%. • Requiring endotracheal intubation after the procedure. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who received PSA in Emergency Department/ Unit. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who received PSA from primary team. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients who developed complications following PSA | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients received PSA | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≤ 5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Emergency Department/ Unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from PSA record book/ patient's case notes. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="630 1524 1416 1696"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Emergency Medicine |
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) patients administered oral aspirin by Prehospital Care and Ambulance Services (PHCAS) responder |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One of the common causes of death in Malaysia is Ischaemic Heart Disease (IHD). 2. The use of aspirin can reduce deaths from ACS by 23% and should be started as early as possible even before arrival to hospital. 3. MOH has pre-existing guideline on call triaging for no traumatic chest pain focusing on angina chest pain and CPG Acute STEMI (3rd Ed) 2014 recommending aspirin administration (Class 1). This may allow indirect measure of effectiveness of CME program for PHCAS for following a clinical care protocol and support newer clinical care/ therapeutic pathways |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS): For the purpose of this indicator, it is diagnosed based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fulfils description of typical ACS presentation using Malaysian CPG on STEMI 2nd Edition 2007 or NICE Guideline 2016 or an accepted national module for Chest Pain in PHCAS. • Identified under Protocol 10 of NAEMD Version 12.2 by MECC dispatcher. • Identified as chief complaint by ambulance responder (hospital or KK based). • Identified as secondary complaint by ambulance provider (hospital or KK based). • Age 35 or more. • If any younger age: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 12L ECG is done at scene and support aspirin administration or ○ Patient has medical document confirming a known case of coronary vessel disease. <p>Aspirin: It is an antiplatelet drug. Standard blue book formulary from MOH 100mg tablet (Category B). For indicated patients, patient is asked to chew 3 tablets, or tablets are crushed/ grinded for patient by ambulance responder; and asked to be swallowed facilitated by sips of water. This is administered to patient at site.</p> <p>Prehospital Care and Ambulance Services (PHCAS): 999 ambulance services team of MOH (Hospital or KK) activated via MECC or direct lines to facility.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients diagnosed as ACS by the MECC dispatcher, ambulance responder or ambulance provider. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient who already took 3 tablets of aspirin prior to arrival of PHCAS responder (pharmacologically effective and not expired packaging). 2. Patients with documented allergy to aspirin. |



| | | <p>3. Patients who are contraindicated to aspirin (gastric/ intestinal ulcers, bleeding tendency such as haemophilia and on anticoagulant such as warfarin).</p> <p>4. Patient with suspected Dissecting Aneurysm.</p> <p>5. Traumatic chest pain.</p> <p>6. Unconscious patient with risk of aspiration.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of suspected ACS patients administered oral aspirin by PHCAS responder | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients that were suspected ACS by PHCAS responder | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 75% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in PHCAS Unit.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from PHCAS call records/ clinical documentation/ patient's case notes.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="630 1010 1414 1182"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline : Emergency Medicine | |
| Indicator 3 | : Percentage of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid given in trauma patients with severe haemorrhage within 60 minutes of first medical contact. |
| Dimension of Quality | : Effectiveness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Polytrauma is one of the major causes of death worldwide, with motor-vehicle accident as the ninth leading cause of death globally and is predicted to become the third leading cause of death and disability by 2020.¹ 2. Haemorrhage is responsible in a third of in hospital trauma death and contribute to death from multi-organ failure.² 3. In CRASH 2 Study, Tranexamic Acid safely reduced the risk of death in bleeding trauma patients down to 2.8% and the need of transfusion by a third. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Trauma: Sudden physical injury caused by external forces for example motor-vehicle accidents, fall from heights, penetrating injuries, gunshot wounds and others.</p> <p>Severe haemorrhage is defined by (A and/or B):</p> <p>A. Evidence of bleeding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External bleeding from obvious open wounds • Internal bleeding detected from clinical examination. <p>B. Physiological parameters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBP < 90 mmHg and/or • HR > 110 bpm <p>Tranexamic Acid is a synthetic derivatives of amino acid lysine that inhibit fibrinolysis by blocking the lysine binding side of plasminogen.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All trauma patients with severe haemorrhage who present to Emergency Department. 2. Trauma patients who were given Tranexamic Acid by Pre-Hospital Responder. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contraindication to Tranexamic Acid <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) Allergic reaction ii) Patients with known pro-coagulation disorder for example Pulmonary Embolism, Anti-phospholipid syndrome, Cavernous Sinus Thrombosis and others. 2. Injuries occurred more than 3 hours. 3. Patient who is less than 18 years old. |
| Type of Indicator | : Rate-based Process indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of trauma patients with severe haemorrhage present in Emergency Department who received intravenous Tranexamic Acid within 60 minutes of arrival including patients who had been given Tranexamic Acid by Pre-Hospital responders. |
| Denominator | : Total number of trauma patients with severe haemorrhage presented in Emergency Department |



| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Standard | : | $\geq 70\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Emergency Department/ Unit. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Tranexamic Acid record book/ patient's case notes. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="641 667 1416 842"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Gosselin RA, Spiegel DA, Coughlin R, Zirkelt LG. Injuries: the neglected burden in developing countries. Bull World Health Organ 2009;87:246 Sauaia A, Moore FA, Moore EE, et al. Epidemiology of trauma deaths: a reassessment. J Trauma 1995; 38: 185-93 Lawson JH, Murphy MP. Challenges for providing effective hemostasis in surgery and trauma. See Hematol 2004; 41 : 55-64 CRASH 2 Study | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| FORENSIC MEDICINE | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Turnaround time of ≤ 3 hours for releasing bodies (non-police cases) to the appropriate claimant after body registration by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit | Efficiency | ≥ 80% | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Turnaround time of ≤ 12 weeks for preparing forensic autopsy reports of police cases from the autopsy performed by the Forensic Medicine Department | Efficiency | ≥ 80% | 6 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of bodies released to the right claimant by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit | Safety | 100% | 6 Monthly |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Forensic Medicine |
| Indicator 1 | : | Turnaround time of ≤ 3 hours for releasing bodies (non-police cases) to the appropriate claimant after body registration by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To ensure that the process of management of the deceased is handled effectively, efficiently and with due respect for the dead by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit. To expedite the release of bodies to the rightful claimant for burial or cremation in accordance with the respective religious beliefs. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Turnaround time: It is the time measured from the time body was registered at Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit till the time body was released to appropriate claimant. It is suggested that the CAPTURED IN time (time of the body registered at forensic unit/ Department) and CAPTURED OUT time (time of the release of body or handing of death documents to the appropriate claimant) be recorded at the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit.</p> <p>Body release: Claiming of body (non-police case) by the appropriate claimant and handing of death documents to the appropriate claimant with the cautionary statement acknowledged as per procedure.</p> <p>Adherence to the Standard operating procedure (SOP) for releasing of body to appropriate claimant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Claimant to produce relevant documents such as identity card of deceased, birth certificate, marriage certificate, passport and certificate from religious department, if possible. Claimant's identification document will be copied and documented. Police report by claimant necessary to ensure correct next of kin if no supporting documents are available. <p>Appropriate Claimant:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Next-of-kin: spouse(s), daughter(s), son(s), parent(s), sibling(s), grandparent(s), first degree relative(s) (e.g., uncle(s), aunt(s), cousin(s), grand-uncle(s), grand-aunt(s)) and the likes. Authorised representative: representative of next-of-kin/ relatives, representative of Embassy/ High Commission, religious authorities and employers. |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All bodies (non-police cases) with availability of claimant. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Unidentified bodies (no identification/ decomposed body/ mutilated body/ skeletonised remains). Incomplete bodies (only body parts found/ fragmented human bones). Communicable or infectious disease cases. All foreigners. Mass disaster fatalities. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator |



| Numerator | : | Number of bodies (non-police cases) released to the appropriate claimant within (\leq) 3 hours from the time of body registration by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Denominator | : | Total number of bodies (non-police cases) released to the appropriate claimant at Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 80\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from death registration book/ Forensic Medicine Information System. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 871 1416 1045"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Forensic Medicine | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Turnaround time of ≤ 12 weeks for preparing forensic autopsy reports of police cases from the autopsy performed by the Forensic Medicine Department | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | To ensure that autopsy reports are prepared in a timely manner for medicolegal purposes and assist in the administration of justice. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Forensic autopsy: Autopsy of police/ medico-legal cases with the issuance of Polis 61 order.</p> <p>Preparing forensic autopsy report: Report drawn up detailing the autopsy findings but not yet finalised/ signed by the specialist/ medical officer.</p> <p>Police/ medico-legal case: A death case under police investigation and the purview of the law.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All forensic autopsy reports of police/ medico-legal cases with ascertained cause of death. All autopsy by Forensic Medicine specialist and medical officers. <p>Exclusion: Forensic autopsy reports of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Skeletonised human remains/ human bones. Pending laboratory investigation results. Mass disasters/ infectious disease outbreaks. Second autopsy examination reports. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of forensic autopsy reports of police cases prepared within (≤) 12 weeks by the Forensic Medicine Department | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of forensic autopsy reports of police cases that need to be prepared by Forensic Medicine Department | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Units. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from death registration book/ Forensic Medicine Information System/ forensic records of police cases. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1780 1416 1885"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | <p>Data collection to be done by 3 months retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be the forensic autopsy for police cases done in January 2022; to allow 12 weeks period for preparation of autopsy report.</p> <p>*This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator.</p> | | |



| Discipline | : | Forensic Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of bodies released to the right claimant by the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To respect the rights of the appropriate claimants which are the next-of-kin or authorised representative. To ensure adherence to the Standard operating procedure (SOP) of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Receiving and registration of bodies from the wards or brought in dead to the Forensic Medicine Department or Emergency Department and Releasing bodies to the appropriate claimants. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Right claimant: Person who is next-of-kin or authorized representative.</p> <p>Next-of-kin: spouse(s), daughter(s)/ son(s), parent(s), sibling(s), grandparent(s), first-degree relative(s) (e.g., uncle(s), aunt(s), cousin(s), granduncle(s), grandaunt(s)) and the likes.</p> <p>Authorised representative: representative of next-of-kin and relatives, representative of Embassy/ High Commission, religious authorities and employers.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All bodies with appropriate claimant that are released by Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Non-availability of appropriate claimant/ unclaimed bodies. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of correct bodies released to the right claimant | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of bodies released | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | 100% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Forensic Medicine Department/ Forensic Unit. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from death registration book/ Forensic Medicine Information System. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1654 1416 1827"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |

++++++



| NUCLEAR MEDICINE | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of urgent Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies reports available within (\leq) 2 working days | Efficiency | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of repeat studies in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine | Safety | $\leq 1\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter - Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Nuclear Medicine |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Nuclear Medicine Technologist/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Nuclear Medicine |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>If registration of patient with payment collection is done <u>ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER</u>:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p>If the registration is done <u>ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER</u>, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling:</p> <p>Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Nuclear Medicine Technologist/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="592 976 1409 1150"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Nuclear Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of urgent Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies reports available within (\leq) 2 working days | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | Patient's clinical management require urgent decision-making based on supporting investigation result; in order to improve clinical outcome. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Urgent: Case that is not in the routine list of appointment. The urgent appointment is only given after discussion between the referral team and the Nuclear Medicine physician/ doctor based on clinical nature and urgency of the disease management. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All urgent requests for Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine study (e.g., hepatobiliary study for biliary atresia, Meckel's scan & RBC tagged scan for GI bleed, inpatient referral for myocardial viability before intervention/ revascularization, bone scan prior to chemotherapy, dynamic renoscintigraphy in post-renal transplant, lung perfusion in pulmonary embolism etc.). <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Non-urgent cases that primary team requested for earlier report. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of urgent Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine reports available within (\leq) 2 working days after completion of studies | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of urgent Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies performed | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Nuclear Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Nuclear Medicine Technologist/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies record book/ copy of Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies reports. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1522 1421 1690"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Nuclear Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of repeat studies in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | It is important to avoid repeat studies in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine as it causes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional radiation dose. • Delay in patient's management. • Increase cost, time and human resource wastage. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Repeat study: Cases that require reinjection of the same radiopharmaceutical when and where the first injected radiopharmaceutical has not achieved its intended purposes as a result of any technical or non-technical causes. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All studies done in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any diagnostic case that was postponed, delayed, aborted or rejected; but had not resulted in the need to re-inject radiotracer to the patient. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of repeat studies in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of studies done in Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 1\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Nuclear Medicine scanning room. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Nuclear Medicine Technologist/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine studies record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1325 1409 1497"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |

++++++



| PATHOLOGY | | | | |
|-----------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of urgent Full Blood Count (FBC) with laboratory turnaround time (LTAT) within (\leq) 45 minutes | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ notified within (\leq) 30 minutes after result verification | Safety | $\geq 95\%$ | 6 Monthly |
| 3.1 | Accuracy of assessment for Anatomic Pathology (General Module) by the External Quality Assurance (EQA) programme | Effectiveness | $\geq 90\%$ | Yearly |
| 3.2 | Accuracy of assessment for blood parasites (Malaria) by the External Quality Assurance (EQA) programme | Effectiveness | $\geq 95\%$ | 6 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Pathology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of urgent Full Blood Count (FBC) with laboratory turnaround time (LTAT) within (\leq) 45 minutes | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One of the objectives of a pathology laboratory is to provide fast laboratory results for the management of medical emergency. 2. Timelines of the services is the capability of the laboratory providing fast results. 3. A fast laboratory turnaround time (LTAT) is desirable and is one of the indicators of efficient laboratory service. 4. FBC is a basic and commonly requested test provided in all healthcare facilities. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Full Blood Count (FBC): Automated measurement of blood cell parameters.</p> <p>Laboratory turnaround time (LTAT): Measuring the time laboratory receives the specimen to the time the test results is validated.</p> <p>Urgent FBC: FBC requested as urgent for immediate management of patient or emergency cases.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All requests sent for FBC that are labelled as urgent. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Requests for non-urgent FBC. 2. Request short turnaround time (STAT) not for immediate management of patient or emergency cases. 3. FBC done at POCT site. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of urgent FBC with LTAT within (\leq) 45 minutes | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of urgent FBC | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in all laboratories providing the test. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ assigned laboratory personnel of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from FBC request form/ urgent sample record book/ LIS. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1682 1414 1854"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as HPIA and Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Pathology |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L notified within (≤) 30 minutes after result verification |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Neonatal jaundice is a common medical condition in newborn babies. High levels of unconjugated bilirubin may lead to acute and chronic bilirubin encephalopathy if appropriate treatment is not promptly instituted. Prolonged hyperbilirubinaemia in neonates may cause neurodevelopmental problem including athetoid cerebral palsy, hearing loss and visual impairment. Acute hyperbilirubinaemia can result in kernicterus. 2. Active communication of critical results is part of overall responsibilities of patient care in clinical pathology service. Requestor has a responsibility to ensure contact details are clear. Individual laboratory must defined their pathway for critical result reporting and define a failsafe system. 3. This is in line with the Malaysian Patient Safety Guideline 2012, Patient Safety Goal No. 8, which require critical result to be notified within 30 minutes from result is ready to be reported. Failure of timely communication and follow-up of critical laboratory values (results) can lead to errors, increased morbidity and mortality. 4. Hyperbilirubinaemia > 300 µmol/L is indication for urgent medical intervention (e.g., exchange transfusion) to avoid complication. Therefore, it is important to ensure timely critical result communication between the laboratory and the clinician. <p>Reference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paediatric Protocol for Malaysian Hospitals 3rd edition 2012. • Clinical Practice Guidelines on Management of Neonatal Jaundice 2nd edition 2014. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Critical result: Test result or value that falls outside the critical limits or the presence of any unexpected abnormal findings which may cause imminent danger to the patient and/ or required immediate medical attention.</p> <p>Critical limit: Boundaries of the low and high laboratory test results beyond which may cause imminent danger to patient and/ or require immediate medical attention.</p> <p>Result verification: Results are analysed, confirmed and ready to be released.</p> <p>Neonate: Day 1 to Day 28 of life.</p> <p>Notification: Any mode of communication (e.g., telephone, SMS). All communication must be documented.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First sample of neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L in babies ≤ 28 days old. <p>Exclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Subsequent sample of neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L. |



| | | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L in babies more than 28 days old. 3. Neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L but the requesting location (ward or clinic) cannot be identified from the request form. 4. Unable to contact after 2 attempts within 15 minutes. <i>Results will be reported with the comment.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of neonatal total bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L notified within ≤ 30 minutes after result verification | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of neonatal bilirubin results > 300 µmol/L | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in all laboratories providing the test. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ assigned laboratory personnel of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from critical value result record book/ critical value notification record book/ LIS. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Pathology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3.1 | : | Accuracy of assessment for Anatomic Pathology (General Module) by the External Quality Assurance (EQA) programme | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | EQA is one of the methods to monitor the quality of histopathological diagnosis and competency of the Anatomical Pathologist. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | EQA programme: It is as a system for objectively checking the laboratory's performance using an external agency or facility. The General Module of the Histopathology EQA programme comprises of unknown cases encountered in general histopathology. Correct diagnoses include concordant and minor discordant reports. Submission of the reports is based upon the average of the Pathologist performance. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | Inclusion: 1. Results from at least 2 cycles of General Module of Histopathology EQA programme participated by an individual Anatomical Pathologist in the current calendar year. Exclusion: 1. EQA results received after the calendar year. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of correct diagnoses achieved by the Anatomical Pathologist | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Number of all cases attempted by the Anatomical Pathologist within a calendar year | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in all laboratories providing the test. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ assigned laboratory personnel of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from EQA result or report/ Anatomic Pathology record book. How frequent: Yearly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent yearly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent yearly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1451 1416 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Pathology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3.2 | : | Accuracy of assessment for blood parasites (Malaria) by the External Quality Assurance (EQA) programme | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To ensure competency of staff on malaria parasites detection as correct detection is crucial for early treatment and surveillance purposes. BFMP is performed in all laboratories with or without Pathologist. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Correct detection (Detected/ Not Detected): It is determined by designated personnel in local and/ or National Malaria Control Programme or Malaria Reference Laboratory and/ or EQA results. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All malaria slides submitted for review by local and/ or National Malaria Control Programme and Malaria Reference Laboratory (first positive peripheral blood smear and blinded rechecking slides). All malaria EQA programmes samples examined and reported. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Poor quality smear provided by requestor. Detection of malaria parasite by other method than microscopy examination. <p>Sampling: All positive slides shall be submitted for review by local or national malaria control program. Random selection for the 10% of the negative slides should be representative of the total malaria slides examined. Each department shall establish and document its own procedure for the negative smear sampling method.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of correct malaria result reported on slides examined in six months | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of all malaria slides sent to local and/ or National Reference Laboratory and EQA programme samples in six months | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in all laboratories providing the test. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ assigned laboratory personnel of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from BFMP record book/ EQA result or report/ result from local and/ or National Malaria Control Programme and Malaria Reference Laboratory/ LIS. How frequent: 6 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="620 1717 1416 1887"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | | PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. |
| Remarks | : | |

++++++



| RADIOLOGY | | | | |
|-----------|---|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes for commencement of ultrasound examination | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of reject-retake images | Effectiveness | $\leq 5\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of patients developed significant contrast media extravasation following CT examination with intravenous (IV) contrast media | Safety | $\leq 0.5\%$ | 3 Monthly |



| Discipline | : | Radiology | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| Indicator 1 | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes for commencement of ultrasound examination | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The aim of this indicator is to improve patient satisfaction. 2. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Waiting time: Time of appointment/ registration (whichever is later) to the time the ultrasound examination is commenced. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients with scheduled appointments. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients without prior appointments/ unscheduled. 2. Unprepared cases that contributed to waiting time of > 60 minutes. <p>Sampling:</p> <p>Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 25% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. Data is to be collected for 1 week (5 consecutive working days) in every month.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes for commencement of ultrasound examination | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients who underwent ultrasound examination | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiology Department/ Unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Radiographer in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from appointment record book/ ultrasound procedure book/ RIS/ PACS. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="646 1585 1442 1759"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : | Radiology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of reject-retake images | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This indicator is a reflection of many of the processes carried out in an imaging department. 2. This indicator has great relevance as it reflects on almost all the processes in the department namely radiographic techniques, performance of X-ray machines, film/ image processing and storage of films. 3. Internationally, the percentage of reject-retake images is quoted to be around 4-11% in average. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Radiographs: Films produced using conventional (non-digital) system.</p> <p>Radiographic images: Images acquired using digital (DR/ CR) system.</p> <p>Rejected images: Any radiographs or images acquired during radiographic examinations/ radiological procedures that has no diagnostic value and has to be repeated/ retake. This refers to radiographs or images of patients that are assessed by the radiographer or the requesting clinician/ radiologist to be clinically unacceptable.</p> <p>Image retake: Repeat exposure to the patient due to earlier non-diagnostic image or rejected by the radiologists and clinicians.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All radiographs/ radiographic images done in the facility including mobile X-rays. 2. Images rejected by radiographers, radiologist and clinicians. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Images discarded due to testing purposes. 2. Images used for quality assurance procedures. | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of rejected radiographs/ radiographic images | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of radiographs/ radiographic images made | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 5% | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiology Department/ Unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Radiographer in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from radiographs/ radiographic images record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="646 1787 1445 1892"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | |



| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Radiology |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of patients developed significant contrast media extravasation following CT examination with intravenous (IV) contrast media |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CT with intravenous (IV) contrast media is a commonly performed procedure in the Radiology Department. Contrast extravasation is a known complication which occurs more frequently with power injection. It may also occur with hand injections. 2. Large volumes (usually > 50mls) of contrast media are known to induce significant tissue damage. However, smaller volumes may also have adverse outcomes especially in paediatric patients. 3. Contrast media are known to induce significant tissue damage such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Skin ulceration. b) Soft-tissue necrosis. c) Compartment syndrome. 4. Thus, the incidence should be kept to the minimum. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Contrast media extravasation: Contrast leaks into the tissue around the vein where the IV needle is inserted.</p> <p>Significant contrast media extravasation: Volume > 50mls which necessitate referral to the primary team or volumes not more than 50mls but requiring referral to the primary team.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All CT examinations performed involving IV contrast media. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients with abnormal circulation in the limb to be injected (e.g., atherosclerotic peripheral vascular disease, diabetic vascular disease, Raynaud's disease, venous thrombosis or insufficiency, or prior chemo/radiation therapy or extensive surgery (e.g., axillary lymph node dissection)). |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients developed significant contrast media extravasation following CT examination with IV contrast media |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients undergo CT examination with IV contrast media |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | ≤ 0.5% |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiology Department/ Unit. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Radiographer in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from CT scan record book. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Prepared by | Validated by | |
| | | | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | |

++++++



| RADIOTHERAPY AND ONCOLOGY | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | ≥ 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Cancer (NPC) patients who were started on radical radiotherapy within (≤) 4 weeks | Customer centeredness | ≥ 70% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Chemotherapy Extravasation Rate | Safety | ≤ 0.5% | 3 Monthly |
| 4 | Percentage of patients who were started on chemotherapy within (≤) 2 weeks from the date of decision for chemotherapy | Customer centeredness | ≥ 70% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Radiotherapy and Oncology |
| Indicator 1a | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 1144 1412 1312"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Discipline | : Radiotherapy and Oncology |
| Indicator 1b | : Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 90% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 1108 1416 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|---|--|
| Discipline | : Radiotherapy and Oncology |
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Cancer (NPC) patients who were started on radical radiotherapy within (\leq) 4 weeks |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Treatment of NPC with radiotherapy is composed of multi-variable processes in the discipline; involving human resource, facilities, equipment and support services. 2. Each of these processes can affect the administration of radiotherapy as a treatment modality for head and neck cancers as well as other cancers. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Date started on radiotherapy: Date of first fraction of radiation treatment.</p> <p>Date of CT simulation: Date of CT simulation done.</p> <p>Date of last cycle of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy: Day 1 of last cycle neo-adjuvant chemotherapy following initial treatment plan.</p> <p>4 weeks: 28 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All NPC patients who have been decided by the oncologist as to have radical radiotherapy during consultation at the clinic. 2. NPC patients who had started radiotherapy after additional cycle of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy due to non-patients related factors still need to be included. However, the duration still need to be counted from the last cycle of chemotherapy following initial treatment plan. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stage IVc NPC. 2. Patients whose treatment is delayed due to patient related factors such as personal/ medical reasons/ other needed elements in initiating radiotherapy treatment. 3. Patients who were started on radical radiotherapy after 4 weeks due to need for completion of another treatment other than neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based output indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of NPC patients who were started on radical radiotherapy within (\leq) 4 weeks either from the date of CT simulation or the date of last cycle of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy given; whichever is later. |
| Denominator | : Total number of NPC patients who were started on radical radiotherapy |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 70\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ radical radiotherapy record book/ database of NPC patients. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. |



| | <p>PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="625 294 1412 472"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="625 294 868 325"></th> <th data-bbox="868 294 1128 325">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1128 294 1412 325">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="625 325 868 399">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="868 325 1128 399">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1128 325 1412 399">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="625 399 868 472">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="868 399 1128 472">Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1128 399 1412 472">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|----------------|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Discipline | : Radiotherapy and Oncology | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | : Chemotherapy Extravasation Rate | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Safety | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extravasation is a grave complication of chemotherapy misdelivery and can lead to devastating effects on patient. 2. The aim of this KPI is to ascertain that chemotherapy delivery is being monitored by the specialists through continuing medical education and dissemination of knowledge about chemotherapy delivery to all stakeholders involved with the patient. 3. Indirect measurement of adherence to stipulated chemotherapy delivery guidelines essential to ensure safe practice, provide evidence-based care and increase awareness amongst healthcare givers. | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Chemotherapy treatment: All types of intravenous administration of chemotherapeutic agents. The number used in this indicator is based on <u>number of times chemotherapy were given</u> not the number of patients.</p> <p>Extravasation: Inadvertent infiltration of chemotherapy preparations and fluids into the subcutaneous or subdermal tissues surrounding the intravenous administration site. In this indicator, <u>only Grade 3 or 4 of extravasation</u> at any point during the chemotherapy treatment is taken as extravasation. Grade 2 and less is not monitored as extravasation in this indicator.</p> <p>For the purpose of this indicator, it is considered as extravasation up to <u>one month (30 days)</u> from the date chemotherapy was given.</p> | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients that were given intravenous chemotherapy including patients with chemo port access. 2. Includes bolus and infusion intravenous chemotherapy. <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of chemotherapy extravasations following chemotherapy treatment | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of administrations of chemotherapy treatment | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\leq 0.5\%$ | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiotherapy and Oncology Ward/ Outpatient Clinic/ Day Care/ wards that cater for the above conditions. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ chemotherapy record book/ incident reporting forms. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="625 1780 1409 1885"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Prepared by</td> <td>Validated by</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | | |
| Remarks | : | <p>Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who received chemotherapy in March 2022. The numerator will be incidences of extravasation occurred among patients who received chemotherapy in March 2022. This to allow 30 days period for patients to be followed up on presence/ absence of extravasation.</p> <p>*All cases of suspected extravasation should be recorded and the specialist in charge must be informed.</p> <p>*Any incidence of chemotherapy extravasation requires incident reporting for each occurrence.</p> | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Discipline | : Radiotherapy and Oncology | | | |
| Indicator 4 | : Percentage of patients who were started on chemotherapy within (\leq) 2 weeks from the date of decision for chemotherapy | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Customer centeredness | | | |
| Rationale | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient-centred services must give priority to reducing waiting time for initiation of treatment. 2. As chemotherapy is an important component of cancer treatment, it should be given promptly and timely. 3. Efforts to deliver the chemotherapy treatment within its designated time at the clinics will reflect upon the efficiency of the Oncology management. | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Started on chemotherapy: Date for the administration of the first chemotherapy schedule.</p> <p>Date of decision: It is the time patient was decided for chemotherapy by the treating oncologist and agreed by patient. The date of decision usually can be referred to date of consent.</p> <p>2 weeks: 14 days (irrespective working or non-working days).</p> | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients where chemotherapy has been decided by the oncologist as part of the cancer treatment during consultation. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients whose treatment is delayed due to patient related factors such as personal/ medical reasons (unfit)/ other needed elements in initiating chemotherapy treatment. 2. Patients who were started on chemotherapy after 2 weeks due to need for completion of another treatment or procedure. 3. Patients on concurrent chemo-radiotherapy. | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients started on chemotherapy within (\leq) 2 weeks from the date of decision for chemotherapy | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients decided for chemotherapy by the oncologist | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 70\%$ | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Radiotherapy and Oncology Outpatient Clinic/ wards that cater for the above condition. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ chemotherapy record book/ database of oncology patients. 4. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%;">Prepared by</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Validated by</td> </tr> </table> | | Prepared by | Validated by |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | |



| | | | |
|---|----------------|---|---|
| | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data |
| | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director. | | | |
| Remarks | : | Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for April 2022, it will be patients who were decided for chemotherapy in March 2022. This to allow 2 weeks period for patients to be followed up; on whether they were started on chemotherapy. *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | |

++++++



| REHABILITATION MEDICINE | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 80\%$ | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | $\geq 90\%$ | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of patients with established interdisciplinary rehabilitation plan within (\leq) 5 working days of admission | Efficiency | $\geq 95\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of falls and near-falls in Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | Safety | $\leq 2\%$ | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Rehabilitation Medicine |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>Two or more registration areas involved:</u> If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter.</p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). |



| | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1075 1416 1249"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Rehabilitation Medicine |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator.</p> |



| | | For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 976 1412 1144"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : Rehabilitation Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : Percentage of patients with established interdisciplinary rehabilitation plan within (\leq) 5 working days of admission | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : Inpatient rehabilitation plan requires a documented and agreed plan which specifies goals, interventions and time frame established via interdisciplinary consultation. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : Interdisciplinary rehabilitation plan: Documented evidence of consultation and communication amongst the disciplines involved. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All inpatient referrals/ admissions for inpatient rehabilitation care. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All inpatients for rehabilitation care with length of stay of less than five working days. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : Number of patients with established interdisciplinary rehabilitation plan within (\leq) 5 working days of admission | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : Total number of patients who are admitted/ referred for inpatient rehabilitation care | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : $\geq 95\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Rehabilitation Medicine wards or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ referral record book/ interdisciplinary meeting record/ other relevant documents. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1381 1416 1556"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : *This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator. | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Rehabilitation Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of falls and near-falls in Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ministry of Health (MOH) gives great importance to patient safety. It is implemented and monitored through Malaysian Patient Safety Goal (MPSG). MPSG number 9 is pertaining to number of falls within the facility. 2. To ensure patients' safety starting from the registration in clinic until completion of the clinic session as falls/ near-falls are preventable and has multifactorial cause which includes intrinsic and modifiable extrinsic factor. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Fall: An event that resulted in a person coming to rest in advertently on the ground or floor or other lower level, with or without injury.</p> <p>Near-fall: A slip, trip, stumble or loss of balance such that the individual starts to fall but either able to recover (witnessed or unwitnessed) and remains upright because their balance recovery mechanisms were activated; and/ or caught by staff/ other persons or they were eased to the ground/ floor/ other lower level by staff/ other persons (e.g., could not stop or prevent falling to the ground/ floor/ lower surface).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All patients who are at Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic (from the time of registration at the clinic till completion of the clinic session). <p>Exclusion: NA</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of falls and near-falls in the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic area | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients attending Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\leq 2\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where: Data will be collected in the Rehabilitation Medicine Outpatient Clinic. 2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. 3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from clinic record book/ Incident Reporting forms & records. 4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. 5. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="609 1585 1404 1759"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |



| | |
|----------------|---|
| Remarks | : This KPI requires all Rehabilitation Medicine clinic to report all falls or near-falls incident to relevant unit within the hospital. |
|----------------|---|

++++++



| SPORTS MEDICINE | | | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1a | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) | Timeliness | \geq 80% | Monthly |
| 1b | Percentage of patients with waiting time of \leq 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) | Timeliness | \geq 90% | Monthly |
| 2 | Percentage of post-operative sports surgery patients seen within (\leq) 3 days for initiation of rehabilitation | Efficiency | \geq 85% | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Incidence rate of Septic Arthritis within (\leq) 2 weeks of intra- or peri-articular injection | Safety | \leq 1% | 3 Monthly |

*For indicator 1, each department to report either 1a **OR** 1b, and not both. (Refer technical specification)



Indicator 1

*Either indicator 1a OR 1b is to be reported, based on how many registration counters are involved.

- **Two or more registration areas are involved:** If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1a**.
- **Only one registration area is involved:** If registration of patient with payment collection is either done **ONLY** at clinical department counter **OR** it is done **ONLY** at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with no further re-registration required at the clinical department counter- Refer **Indicator 1b**.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Sports Medicine |
| Indicator 1a | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Two or more registration areas involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Two or more registration areas involved: <u>If registration of patient is first done at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter with payment collection, following which the patient needs to re-register at the respective clinical department counter:</u></p> <p>Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 60 minutes to see the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 80% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1108 1409 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Sports Medicine |
| Indicator 1b | : | Percentage of patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic (Only one registration area involved) |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Timeliness |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOH aims for waiting time to see the doctor at outpatient services, to be less than 90 minutes, in line with patient-centred services. Waiting time is time <u>patient first registers in the hospital</u> till the time patient is seen by doctor. (Reference: Director-General of Health Malaysia Circular No. 6/2004) 2. The waiting time is based on patient's experience from the time the patient first registers at the first counter in the hospital till seen by doctor. In view of many counters being involved in some hospitals/ departments, some clinical departments have opted for monitoring of registration from department counter, as any process prior to that appears out of the clinical department's control. Thus, due to involvement of 2 or more counters within the hospital, for monitoring of clinical services KPI, the target of waiting time is for less than 60 minutes within the department. This is applicable only if patient is being registered at another counter within the same hospital (e.g., at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter) prior to the clinical department counter. 3. For hospitals to eliminate or reduce waiting time, it is important to balance between the demand for appointments and the supply of appointments. One needs to identify opportunities for improvement by strengthening the policy of outpatient services in hospital, apply Queuing Theory and having contingency plans. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p><u>If registration of patient with payment collection is done ONLY AT CLINICAL DEPARTMENT COUNTER:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at department counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> <p><u>If the registration is done ONLY AT HOSPITAL'S MAIN OUTPATIENT/ ACC COMPLEX REGISTRATION COUNTER, with no re-registration at the clinical department counter:</u> Waiting time: Time of registration counter at hospital's main outpatient/ ACC complex registration counter or time of appointment given to patient (whichever is later) till the time the patient is first seen by the doctor, which is beginning of a consultation.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All outpatients of the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients who come without an appointment ("walk-in" patients). 2. Patients that need to do procedures on the same day before seeing the doctors (e.g., blood taking or imaging). 3. Patients who state their preference to see only a specific doctor at the clinic. |



| | | <p>Sampling: Using an average of total patients seen in a month, 30% of the patients in each month need to be sampled for this indicator. For example, in a case of 22 clinic days per month, 7 clinic days in a month need to be selected for data collection. Hospital/ department to ensure randomised sampling of data by ensuring each clinic day of the week is included to ensure proper representation of data.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of sampled patients with waiting time of ≤ 90 minutes to see the doctor at Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total sample of patients seen by the doctor at the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 90\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Sports Medicine Outpatient Clinic. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ appointment record book/ waiting time slip. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1073 1409 1247"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Sports Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Percentage of post-operative sports surgery patients seen within (\leq) 3 days for initiation of rehabilitation | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | This indicator was selected to assist in the planning of post-operative rehabilitation; when they are clinically stable with tolerable pain as well as free from indwelling catheters. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Sports surgery: Sports surgery involving the shoulder and knee. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All post-operative sports surgery patients. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Patients who are not referred to sports medicine team. Patients who refused for sports medicine treatment after referral. Patients who are discharged within 3 days of surgery. | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based process indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of post-operative sports surgery patients seen within (\leq) 3 days for initiation of rehabilitation | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of post-operative sports surgery patients | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | $\geq 85\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in the Sports Medicine wards or wards that cater for the above condition. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ OT notes/ referral record book. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="613 1346 1409 1520"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Discipline | : | Sports Medicine |
| Indicator 3 | : | Incidence rate of Septic Arthritis within (\leq) 2 weeks of intra- or peri-articular injection |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sports physicians may administer intra- or peri-articular injection as part of treatment. However, this procedure has been documented to cause Septic Arthritis, although it is very rare 2. Septic Arthritis presents an orthopaedic emergency that requires prompt recognition and early treatment to evade serious morbidity and mortality. 3. A comprehensive nationwide study from all arthrocentesis procedures performed over a 13-year period were reviewed, resulting in a reported frequency of Septic Arthritis of 1 in 2600 procedures (Geirsson et al. 2008). Septic Arthritis is an uncommon but potentially serious side effect of intra-articular joint injection. The estimated risk is 4.6 cases/100,000 injections (Pal & Morris. 1999). Symptoms of Septic Arthritis usually develop within 1 to 3 weeks after joint injection (Rhee et al. 2008). |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Septic Arthritis: Synovial joint infection demonstrating the expected clinical manifestations and supported diagnosis with laboratory workout, especially positive synovial fluid culture.</p> <p>Patient needs to be reviewed in the clinic around 2 weeks after the intra- or peri-articular injection to assess on evidence of Septic Arthritis. However, if patient defaulted the appointment, these patients can be contacted to assess presence/ absence of the Septic Arthritis symptoms. This has to be documented to show evidence of presence/ absence of Septic Arthritis.</p> <p>Intra- or peri-articular injection sites: For the purpose of this indicator, only the following joints are included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shoulder joint complex (Gleno-humeral joint, Acromio-clavicular joint, Subacromial space). • Knee joint. |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All intra- or peri-articular injection involving shoulder joint complex and knee joint. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intra- or peri-articular injection involving other joints that shoulder joint complex and knee. 2. Aseptic Arthritis. 3. Patients who defaulted clinic appointment <u>and</u> not contactable to assess presence/ absence of Septic Arthritis. 4. Joint aspiration. |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based outcome indicator |
| Numerator | : | Number of patients who develop Septic Arthritis within (\leq) 2 weeks of intra- or peri-articular injection |
| Denominator | : | Total number of patients who received intra- or peri-articular injection |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : | $\leq 1\%$ |



| <p>Data Collection & Verification</p> | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in the Sports Medicine Clinic/ Sports Medicine wards or wards that cater for the above condition.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from patient's case notes/ procedure record book.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="613 600 1409 772"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer/ Paramedic/ Nurse in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Remarks</p> | <p>Data collection to be done by 1 month retrospective cohort of data. E.g., for May 2022, it will be patients who had intra- or peri-articular injection done in April 2022; as patients need to be followed on the presence/ absence of Septic Arthritis.</p> | | | | | | | | | |

+++++



| TRANSFUSION MEDICINE | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| NO | INDICATOR | DIMENSION | STANDARD | SECONDARY DATA REPORTING FREQUENCY |
| 1 | Percentage of urgent cases where blood were issued within (\leq) 30 minutes | Timeliness | $\geq 95\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 2 | Red Cell Expiry Rate | Effectiveness | $\leq 2\%$ | 3 Monthly |
| 3 | Percentage of root cause analysis (RCA) on near miss and Incorrect Blood Component Transfused (IBCT) completed with corrective and/ or preventive action identified | Safety | $\geq 85\%$ | 6 Monthly |



| | |
|---|---|
| Discipline | : Transfusion Medicine |
| Indicator 1 | : Percentage of urgent cases where blood were issued within (\leq) 30 minutes |
| Dimension of Quality | : Timeliness |
| Rationale | : Timely blood supply is crucial for patient care in emergency situation and thus help to reduce mortality and morbidity. |
| Definition of Terms | : <p>Urgent cases: Cases that require blood immediately to save life. Blood supply will either be of Safe O, uncrossmatched group specific packed cells or group specific packed red cells after an emergency crossmatched procedure has been performed.</p> <p>Issued time: Duration between times of patient's blood sample received at blood bank to the time of the first unit of blood issued out from the blood bank.</p> <p>Safe O: Group O Rh D positive packed cell that is released in life threatening condition without crossmatching.</p> <p>Uncrossmatched group specific packed cells: If the blood group of the patient is known, uncrossmatched group specific blood may be given for transfusion.</p> <p>Emergency crossmatch: Units of blood that are found to be compatible at immediate spin after 5 minutes incubation at room temperature are issued for transfusion.</p> |
| Criteria | : <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All blood request marked as urgent by the attending clinician and <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Preceded by a phone call from the clinician (or delegated representative) OR Cases where the ward representative is physically present at the blood bank. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All cases for elective transfusion (surgical, medical etc.). Incomplete request as per rejection criteria. Cases that required complete antibody identification and supply of compatible blood. Group Screen and Hold (GSH) cases that are converted to GXM. |
| Type of indicator | : Rate-based process indicator |
| Numerator | : Number of urgent cases where blood were issued within (\leq) 30 minutes |
| Denominator | : Total number of urgent cases where blood were requested |
| Formula | : $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ |
| Standard | : $\geq 95\%$ |
| Data Collection & Verification | : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in hospital's blood bank/ Transfusion Medicine Department/ Unit. Who: Data will be collected by Officer of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from Blood Bank urgent cases record book/ Blood Bank Information System/ related records. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. |



| | <p>PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="613 300 1409 472"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="613 300 852 331"></th> <th data-bbox="852 300 1117 331">Prepared by</th> <th data-bbox="1117 300 1409 331">Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="613 331 852 401">Primary Data</td> <td data-bbox="852 331 1117 401">Officer in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1117 331 1409 401">Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="613 401 852 472">Secondary Data</td> <td data-bbox="852 401 1117 472">Officer in-charge</td> <td data-bbox="1117 401 1409 472">Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
|-----------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|---|
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Remarks</p> | <p>: Although Safe O and uncrossmatched group specific packed cells shall be issued instantly, but these are included in urgent case as a measure to monitor the performance of issuing.</p> <p>*This indicator is also being monitored as an Outcome Based Budgeting (OBB) indicator.</p> | | | | | | | | | |



| Discipline | : | Transfusion Medicine | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|
| Indicator 2 | : | Red Cell Expiry Rate | | | | | | | | | |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Effectiveness | | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale | : | To monitor the expiry rate of red cell in blood bank inventory in order to prevent wastage of red cells. | | | | | | | | | |
| Definition of Terms | : | Expiry: Red cell that has expired in the blood bank inventory. | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <p>1. All red cell units in stock (collected and/ or received from other blood centre).</p> <p>Exclusion:</p> <p>1. Red cell units that are not suitable for use (e.g., contaminated).</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator | : | Number of expired red cell units for the month | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of red cell units in stock for the month | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≤ 2% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <p>1. Where: Data will be collected in hospital's blood bank/ Transfusion Medicine Department/ Unit.</p> <p>2. Who: Data will be collected by Officer of the department/ unit.</p> <p>3. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from record book/ Blood Bank Information System/ related records.</p> <p>4. How frequent: Monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 3 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital.</p> <p>5. Who should verify:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="610 1150 1409 1323"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Discipline | : | Transfusion Medicine |
| Indicator 3 | : | Percentage of root cause analysis (RCA) on near miss and Incorrect Blood Component Transfused (IBCT) completed with corrective and/ or preventive action identified |
| Dimension of Quality | : | Safety |
| Rationale | : | Near miss and IBCT are events that have impact on safety and timeliness of patient care that can be prevented from happening, thus should be investigated thoroughly. Understanding what, how and why these occurred is the key to correct and/ or prevent its recurrence. |
| Definition of Terms | : | <p>Root cause analysis (RCA): A structured investigation that aims to identify the root cause of the adverse event and actions necessary to eliminate it. It is a risk management tool to understand why the adverse event occurs in accordance to Guidelines on Implementation Incident Reporting & Learning System 2.0 for Ministry of Health Malaysia Hospitals.</p> <p>Near miss: An error which if undetected could result in the determination of a wrong blood group, or issue, collection or administration of an incorrect, inappropriate or unsuitable blood or blood component; but which was recognized before the erroneous transfusion took place.</p> <p>IBCT: An episode where a patient was transfused with a blood or blood component which did not meet the appropriate requirements, or which was intended for another patient.</p> <p>Corrective action/ preventive action: Any remedial measures/ risk reduction strategies that had been identified.</p> <p>Patient with rare blood group: Patient with either blood group that has a 1:1,000 occurrences in a general population or with combination of multiple red cell antibodies.</p> |
| Criteria | : | <p>Inclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All requests for blood and blood components with incident of near miss and IBCT. <p>Exclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Non-ABO/ Rh specific blood or blood component intentionally given to patients in situation such as; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rh negative patient was transfused with Rh positive red cells in an emergency situation. Group O red cell was transfused to a non-group O recipient in an emergency situation. Group AB recipient transfused with Group A or Group B blood and blood component in the absence of Group AB blood and blood component. AB plasma for neonates. Patient with a rare blood group or with antibodies and require urgent transfusion. Specific requirement such as irradiated, phenotyped, filtered are currently excluded. |



| Type of indicator | : | Rate-based output indicator | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|
| Numerator | : | Number of RCA performed on near miss and IBCT with completed corrective and/ or preventive action identified | | | | | | | | | |
| Denominator | : | Total number of near miss and IBCT occurred | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula | : | $\frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}} \times 100\%$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | : | ≥ 85% | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Collection & Verification | : | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where: Data will be collected in hospital's blood bank/ Transfusion Medicine Department/ Unit. Who: Data will be collected by Officer of the department/ unit. How to collect: Data is suggested to be collected from record book/ Blood Bank Information System/ related records. How frequent: 3 monthly data collection within department. Validated summarised secondary data to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of the respective hospital for monitoring. PVF to be sent 6 monthly to Quality Unit of hospital. Who should verify: <table border="1" data-bbox="610 806 1406 978"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Prepared by</th> <th>Validated by</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Primary Data</td> <td>Officer in-charge</td> <td>Supervisor of the person who prepared the data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary Data</td> <td>Officer in-charge</td> <td>Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PVF must be verified by Head of Department, Head of Quality Unit and Hospital Director.</p> | | Prepared by | Validated by | Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge |
| | Prepared by | Validated by | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Data | Officer in-charge | Supervisor of the person who prepared the data | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary Data | Officer in-charge | Head of Department/ Specialist in-charge | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | : | | | | | | | | | | |

++++++